

AT A GLANCE

Clinical Evaluation for Mercury Exposure: A Simplified Approach

Published: August 2024

Introduction

This document is for physicians and other licenced primary care providers (e.g., nurse practitioners) who require more information on identifying and managing potentially clinically relevant metals exposure in the community setting. This is a brief guide, and not intended to be comprehensive. It should not supersede specialist or urgent care referral, where clinical judgement dictates.

Public Health Ontario (PHO) has also developed documents for [cadmium exposure](#) and [lead exposure](#).

Step 1: Enquire About Relevant Exposures

An **exposure history** should capture potential exposure in the home, community, and at the workplace:

- Organic mercury exposure is most common and occurs almost exclusively via fish consumption.^{1,2}
- Exposure to elemental and inorganic forms is more commonly related to industrial/electro-mechanical industries, or use of certain cosmetic products (e.g., skin lightening creams).³
- Exposure to elemental mercury from dental amalgams is generally negligible.¹ The presence of amalgams is not an indication for testing. Removal of amalgams as a means to reduce mercury exposure is not recommended.⁴

Step 2: Assess for Expected Clinical Outcomes

Three relevant forms of mercury include elemental (quicksilver), inorganic, and organic (methylmercury), and each differ in where they are found, route of exposure, and expected health effects.

The central and peripheral nervous systems as well as the kidneys are the primary targets; health effects occur in a predictable dose-dependent manner (see [Table 1](#) and [Table 2](#)).

Organic mercury

- Peripheral/perioral paresthesias
- Peripheral vision loss
- Tremors, spasticity and ataxia

Inorganic/elemental mercury

- Erethism (pathological shyness), neurobehavioural changes
- Acrodynia (painful red extremities), erythroderma, desquamation
- Gingivostomatitis
- Renal dysfunction

Step 3: Determine What Testing Should be Performed

- Organic mercury (methylmercury) is best measured using a blood mercury level.³
- Inorganic and elemental mercury are best measured using urine mercury levels.
- Hair testing is not generally recommended for any form of mercury exposure.³
- Testing after administration of a chelating agent (provoked testing) is not scientifically validated and does not provide interpretable results.^{5,6} In these circumstances, a mercury level should be ordered no sooner than 21 days after chelation.

Step 4: Interpreting Results

Reported laboratory reference ranges represent population averages, not levels of toxicity. Toxic effects differ between forms of mercury, as shown in [Table 1](#) and [Table 2](#).

- Identification and cessation of exposure is the first step.
- An elevated blood mercury below 200 nmol/L is most likely due to fish consumption (in the absence of occupational and environmental sources) and warrants discussion on selection of low mercury fish species. Comprehensive lists of high-risk species can be found online, but examples of high risk fish include fresh/frozen tuna, shark, swordfish, escolar, marlin, and orange roughy.⁷ Online advice should be available from your public health unit, or for locally caught fish, the Ontario Government provides a [Guide to Eating Ontario Fish](#).⁸
- Blood levels greater than 200 nmol/L (or greater than 100 nmol/L in children, pregnant, or potentially pregnant females), warrant specialist referral.⁹⁻¹¹
- If a worker is thought to be exposed to mercury in an Ontario workplace where a control program exists for Designated Substances (under Ontario Regulation 490/09), physicians who conduct tests and examinations related to this exposure must follow the [Ontario Codes for Medical Surveillance for Designated Substances](#).
- Chelation therapy is reserved for acute high-dose exposures under specialist supervision and is contraindicated in chronic low-concentration exposure, as it may redistribute soft-tissue stores of mercury to the central nervous system.^{13,14}

Mercury Concentrations Associated with Individual and Population Level Effects

These tables show measured levels and individual or population level effects.

Table 1a: Blood Mercury (Indicator for Methylmercury, or MeHg) Reference and Guidance Values

Blood Mercury Concentration (µg/L)	Blood Mercury Concentration (nmol/L)	Reference and Guidance Values
0.77	3.9	50 th percentile in Canadians aged 3–79, 2018–19 ¹⁵ (total mercury, expected from normal exposure in the community)
3.8	19	95 th percentile in Canadians aged 3–79, 2018–19 ¹⁵ (Total mercury)
8	40	Health Canada upper limit of normal acceptable range in children (≤18), women of childbearing age (19–49), and pregnant women. ¹⁶
20	100	Health Canada upper limit of normal acceptable range for the general adult population ¹⁰
44.4	222	Mean level in frequent (>4/week) fish consumers (from several studies) ¹⁷
>100	>500	Health Canada “at risk” range ^{9,10}
200	820	Level observed in some frequent fish consumers in one review ¹⁸

Table 1b: Blood Mercury Level and Expected Population or Individual Effects

Blood Mercury Concentration (µg/L)	Blood Mercury Concentration (nmol/L)	Population or Clinical Effect
58	288	Earliest observed population-level health effect (Lower 95 percentile confidence limit on 85 µg/L cord blood mercury associated with a 5% increase in the prevalence of an abnormal Boston Naming Test later in childhood, one metric used to measure cognition ^{19,20})
100–200	497–994	Earliest observed clinical effect of subtle tremors, ataxia, paresthesias ^{11,19-22}

Table 2: Urinary (Inorganic/Elemental) Mercury Level and Expected Clinical Effects³

Urine Mercury Concentration (µg/L)	Urine Mercury Concentration (nmol/L)	Clinical Effect
2.2	11	95 th percentile in Canadians age 3–79, 2014–2015 ²³
<20	<100	None
20–100	100–500	Subtle tremors
100–500	500–2500	Neuropsychiatric disturbances (depression, irritability, memory loss), tremor, earliest signs of renal dysfunction
>500–1000	>2500–5000	Gingivostomatitis, tremors, paresthesias, ataxia

References

1. Lambrinos A. Testing for blood mercury levels in the general population [Internet]. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario; 2014 [cited 2022 Jan 25]. Available from: <http://www.hqontario.ca/Portals/0/Documents/evidence/cwc/report-mercury-testing-1410-en.pdf>
2. York Region Public Health. A guide to eating fish for women, children and families . York, ON: York Region Community and Health Services; 2017.
3. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Evaluating mercury exposure: information for health care providers [Internet]. Washington, DC: ATSDR; 2009 [cited 2022 Jan 25]. Available from: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/mercury/docs/physician_hg_flier.pdf
4. American Dental Association (ADA) Council on Scientific Affairs. Literature review: dental amalgam fillings and health effects [archived]. Chicago, IL: ADA; 2010 [cited 2022 Jan 25]. Available from: https://web.archive.org/web/20170830154426/https://www.ada.org/~media/ADA/Member%20Center/Files/amalgam_literature_review_1009.pdf?la=en
5. Weiss ST, Campleman S, Wax P, McGill W, Brent J; Toxicology Investigators Consortium. Failure of chelator-provoked urine testing results to predict heavy metal toxicity in a prospective cohort of patients referred for medical toxicology evaluation. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2022;60(2):191-6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15563650.2021.1941626>
6. American College of Medical Toxicology. ACMT recommends against use of post-chelator challenge urinary metal testing. *J Med Toxicol*. 2017;13(4):352-4. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13181-017-0624-6>
7. Health Canada. Mercury in fish - questions and answers; consumption advice [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada; 2019 [updated 2019 Nov 17; cited 2024 Jun 25]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/food-safety/chemical-contaminants/environmental-contaminants/mercury/mercury-fish-questions-answers.html>
8. Ontario. Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. Guide to eating Ontario fish [Internet]. Toronto, ON: King's Printer for Ontario; 2023 [updated 2024 Jun 24; cited 2024 Jul 04]. Available from: <https://www.ontario.ca/page/guide-eating-ontario-fish>
9. Legrand M, Feeley M, Tikhonov C, Schoen D, Li-Muller A. Methylmercury blood guidance values for Canada. *Can J Public Health*. 2010;101(1):28-31. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03405557>
10. Health Canada Mercury Issues Task Group. Mercury: your health and the environment: a resource tool [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada; 2004 [cited 2022 Jan 25]. Available from: https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/migration/hc-sc/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/pubs/contaminants/mercury/mercur-eng.pdf
11. Brodtkin E, Copes R, Mattman A, Kennedy J, Kling R, Yassi A. Lead and mercury exposures: interpretation and action. *CMAJ*. 2007;176(1):59-63. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.060790>
12. Ontario. Ministry of Labour, Immigration, Training and Skills Development. Code for medical surveillance for designated substances [Internet]. Toronto, ON: Government of Ontario; 2019 [updated 2024 Jan 04; cited 2024 Jul 04]. Available from: <https://www.ontario.ca/files/2022-06/mltsd-final-medical-surveillance-code-designated-substances-ontario-regulation-490-09-2019-en-2022-06-01.pdf>

13. Flora SJS, Pachauri V. Chelation in metal intoxication. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2010;7(7):2745-88. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7072745>
14. Aaseth J, Skaug MA, Cao Y, Andersen O. Chelation in metal intoxication--principles and paradigms. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2015;31:260-6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2014.10.001>
15. Health Canada. Sixth report on human biomonitoring of environmental chemicals in Canada: results of the Canadian Health Measures Survey Cycle 6 (2018–2019) [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health; 2021 [cited 2024 Jun 25]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/documents/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/environmental-contaminants/sixth-report-human-biomonitoring/pub1-eng.pdf>
16. Health Canada. Evaluation of the effectiveness of risk management measures for mercury [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada; 2020 [modified 2020 Jul 03]. 4, Mercury and human health. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/management-toxic-substances/evaluation-effectiveness-risk-management-measures-mercury/mercury-human-health.html>
17. Brune D, Nordberg GF, Vesterberg O, Gerhardsson L, Wester PO. A review of normal concentrations of mercury in human blood. *Sci of the Tot Env*. 1991;100:235-82. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697\(91\)90380-w](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(91)90380-w)
18. World Health Organization (WHO). Methylmercury [Internet]. Geneva: ; 1990 [cited 2024 Jun 25]. Available from: <https://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc101.htm>
19. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Toxicological profile for mercury: draft for public comment [Internet]. Washington, DC: ATSDR; 1999 [cited 2022 Jan 25]. Available from: <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp46.pdf>
20. Hawaii State Department of Health (HSDH). Biomonitoring pilot study: hair mercury levels in clients attending the special supplemental nutrition program for women, infants and children (WIC) program [Internet]. Honolulu, HI: Government of Hawaii; 2018 [cited 2024 Jun 25]. Available from: <https://health.hawaii.gov/heer/files/2019/06/2018-02-HDOH-Biomonitoring-Pilot-Study-Hair-Mercury-Levels-in-WIC-Program-Clients.pdf>
21. World Health Organization (WHO). Air quality guidelines [Internet]. 2nd ed. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2000 [cited 2024 Jun 25]. Chapter 6.9, Mercury. Available from: https://who-sandbox.squiz.cloud/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/123079/AQG2ndEd_6_9Mercury.PDF
22. Bakir F, Damluji SF, Amin-Zaki L, Murtadha M, Khalidi A, al-Rawsi NY, et al. Methylmercury poisoning in Iraq. *Science*. 1973;181(4096):230-41. Available from: https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.181.4096.230?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%20%20pubmed
23. Health Canada. Fourth report on human biomonitoring of environmental chemicals in Canada: results of the Canadian Health Measures Survey Cycle 4 (2014–2015) [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health; 2017 [cited 2024 Jun 25]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/documents/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/environmental-contaminants/fourth-report-human-biomonitoring-environmental-chemicals-canada/fourth-report-human-biomonitoring-environmental-chemicals-canada-eng.pdf>

Citation

Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). Clinical evaluation for mercury exposure: a simplified approach. Toronto, ON: King's Printer for Ontario; 2024.

Disclaimer

This document was developed by Public Health Ontario (PHO). PHO provides scientific and technical advice to Ontario's government, public health organizations and health care providers. PHO's work is guided by the current best available evidence at the time of publication. The application and use of this document is the responsibility of the user. PHO assumes no liability resulting from any such application or use. This document may be reproduced without permission for non-commercial purposes only and provided that appropriate credit is given to PHO. No changes and/or modifications may be made to this document without express written permission from PHO.

Public Health Ontario

Public Health Ontario is an agency of the Government of Ontario dedicated to protecting and promoting the health of all Ontarians and reducing inequities in health. Public Health Ontario links public health practitioners, front-line health workers and researchers to the best scientific intelligence and knowledge from around the world.

For more information about PHO, visit publichealthontario.ca.