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Summary

This Seasonal Bulletin provides expert recommendations on immediate actions that may reduce the health harms of heat emergencies in the 2023 season. Experience from other jurisdictions show that heat emergencies are a major threat to health and wellbeing, disproportionately affecting some individuals and groups more than others.

Given that existing heat response plans, community relationships, and capacity to respond may have been altered in recent years by the COVID-19 pandemic, the recommendations in this Seasonal Bulletin focus on reviewing plans, communication strategies, strengthening partnerships and community engagement.

Our four recommendations are based upon expert opinion. More detailed evidence-informed reviews and recommendations focused on reducing adverse health outcomes and inequities from major heat-related events will be forthcoming. The detailed recommendations are found on page 3 of this document and are directed at public health units, municipalities, health care institutions, Indigenous organizations and agencies, and community agencies and organizations.

To summarize, the focus of the recommendations are as follows:

- All organizations and communities should review their heat emergency plans. Focus on developing clear roles and responsibilities and effective communication strategies.

- Strengthen partnerships, plans, and contracts for outreach and response during heat events. We recommend that the Government of Ontario work with municipal, community, and humanitarian organizations to prepare and make sure that all regions have suitable plans and agreements in place for a heat emergency.

- Work with communities and people who are most likely to experience harms. This includes people who have serious mental or other health conditions, people who have limited mobility, older adults, infants and children, people who are more isolated, and people who live or work where it is hot.

- Design and implement communication to reach everybody who needs to receive it. Make communication clear and appropriate for different groups, contexts and languages, so that messages are clear, can be followed, and are accessible for people with hearing, visual, or learning disabilities.
Purpose

Ontario’s summer season is here. The purpose of this Seasonal Bulletin is to offer expert opinion on actions that can be reasonably taken now to mitigate the effects of a heat event this year, especially for individuals and communities at the highest risk of adverse outcomes due to health and social circumstances, and living or work environments. Many Ontario organizations have heat response strategies, and relationships with other stakeholders and community organizations to respond in the event of heat emergencies. However, many of these plans, relationships, and capacity to respond may have altered through COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, the recommendations in this Seasonal Bulletin focus on reviewing and renewing plans, and immediately strengthening engagement, communication, and partnerships with all groups and organizations responding to heat events in the summer of 2023.

Climate-related emergencies are complex population health issues, involving multiple players, at multiple levels, with a wide range of approaches and responses. As we began our examination of the evidence, we determined that we could not fully synthesize the evidence and formulate recommendations that would be timely or actionable for this summer’s heat season. Both immediate and ongoing responses are required. The Ontario Public Health Emergencies Science Advisory Committee (OPHESAC) will proceed with more rigorous scientific review and comprehensive recommendations to prepare for heat emergencies and effectively address their impacts on health and health equity.

Background

Why Heat Emergencies?

Heat emergencies pose a substantial threat to the health and wellbeing of Ontarians. They range from several days of high heat posing risks to very vulnerable individuals to very significant longer term heat domes, combined with other emergencies that have the potential to kill or harm much larger numbers of people. In short, a major 2023 heat emergency in Ontario could have devastating consequences, invariably affecting some groups and populations much more than others.

For these reasons, the Ontario Chief Medical Officer of Health proposed that OPHESAC examine heat emergencies. This was also supported by other Ontario government departments. A working group (WG), of OPHESAC members and experts, were tasked to deliver guidance for Ontario decision-makers on heat emergencies, a more frequent and potentially deadly consequence of climate change.

Heat events have become and are expected to be increasingly frequent, prolonged, severe and deadly due to climate change. The heat dome in British Columbia (BC) during the summer of 2021 resulted in over 600 deaths and additional health consequences. Nearly all heat-associated deaths in BC occurred indoors. The deaths disproportionately affected older adults with chronic health conditions, people with chronic conditions that impact cognition and mental health, and people living in areas of higher material and social deprivation. This heat dome was most severe in the more rural and less densely populated BC interior. The Montréal heat waves of 2010 and 2018 and the 2003 heat wave in Paris both demonstrated the devastating effects of major heat-related events.

Given the season and limited time, the OPHESAC agreed to develop a Seasonal Bulletin focused on interim recommendations that stand to mitigate the effects of heat events for summer 2023. The OPHESAC agreed to a phased approach to address extreme heat events and related climatic emergencies. Future reports may provide a more comprehensive overview of how best to prepare, respond and recover from heat emergencies.
Is Ontario Ready?

Ontario’s harmonized Heat Warning and Information System (HWIS) was implemented province-wide in 2016. A 2022 time-series analysis found that emergency department visits for heat-related illness, adjusted for maximum daily temperature, appeared to decline following implementation of the HWIS in some subpopulations. However, the emergency department visits for heat-related illness increased among people with a recent history of homelessness, and the overall change was not statistically significant at a population level. Based on discussions with experts from BC and Health Canada, and a forthcoming jurisdictional scan and a rapid review, we believe that steps can be taken to strengthen immediate responses.

The WG identified a need for recommendations focused on community engagement in support of individuals and groups most likely to experience health harms during a heat emergency in Ontario, and strategies to strengthen collaborations and response agreements prior to a major heat event (See Appendix for methods to generate the recommendations). Mitigating the harms of heat emergencies involves a whole-of-society approach to comprehensively address root causes of why some people experience worse harms from heat events than others. We also recognize that recommendations and actions initiated in July 2023 cannot eliminate the harms or redress the inequities that would be reasonably predicted in the event of a major heat emergency in the summer of 2023. Longer-term and interjurisdictional planning is needed to achieve these goals.

Recommendations

The recommendations below represent immediate steps that can be taken now to reduce adverse health consequences and reduce health inequities as a result of a major heat emergency in 2023. These recommendations are expert opinion, arising from discussions with experts, a provisional review of a forthcoming environmental scan and rapid literature review.

Recommendation 1: We recommend that public health units, municipalities, health care institutions, Indigenous organizations and agencies, and community agencies and organizations review and update their local heat event response plans to collectively prepare for this year’s heat season. As plans are reviewed and updated, roles and responsibilities of all partners should be clear, with mechanisms established for immediate communication with all stakeholders, including community partners and the general public.

Recommendation 2: To improve our chances of reaching and supporting people who are most likely to experience adverse health outcomes in a heat emergency, we recommend that all stakeholders assess, facilitate, enable, and strengthen operational partnerships, agreements, plans, and contracts to support outreach and response strategies during extreme heat events. We also recommend that the Government of Ontario guide municipalities, public health, community partners, Indigenous organizations and agencies, emergency response agencies, and humanitarian organizations at the provincial and local level on strengthening contracts and best practices for equitable and effective heat response.

Recommendation 3: We recommend that public health units, municipalities, health care institutions, Indigenous organizations and agencies, community agencies, local community organizations involved in heat response work together with people most likely to experience adverse outcomes in heat emergencies. Community engagement should be strengthened with people living with psychosocial disabilities and chronic health conditions, people with limited mobility, older adults, caregivers of infants and children, people who may be socially and geographically isolated, and people who live or work where it is particularly hot or unable to access cooling. Strengthening community engagement activities with stakeholders and communities at highest risk of heat harms will increase trust and uptake of health messaging and participation in health interventions.
Recommendation 4: To strengthen the clarity of communications and uptake of key health messages, we recommend that all organizations involved in heat responses devote time and energy to learn about the communication needs of different groups. Based on this learning, organizations involved in heat response need to make communication clear and appropriate for different social, historical, economic, cultural, geographic, and linguistic contexts. Communication materials and messages need to be given in accessible formats, especially for those with hearing, visual, or learning disabilities.

Discussion

Engagement is a central theme of our recommendations. From our review of the literature and consultations with experts, engagement emerged as essential to any heat response. We specifically emphasized engagement focused on isolated and marginalized groups, as they often have specific needs that need to be met with tailored response. We recognize that engagement is essential but only a small part of a comprehensive strategy to prepare for and respond to heat events. There are many other negative outcomes from heat emergencies that were largely excluded from this Seasonal Bulletin.

Given that significant heat events can be lethal, this Seasonal Bulletin acts as a reminder of the importance of re-examining response plans and enhancing engagement activities. We acknowledge that many public health units and organizations involved in heat response have already reviewed and activated heat plans for 2023. The pandemic experience can inform response, outreach, and engagement efforts in terms of what can be successful to reach individuals and communities at risk for heat harms.

Our consultations and review of supporting evidence consistently identified elevated risks of heat-related harms among people who have mental or other debilitating health conditions, people who have limited mobility, older adults, infants and children, people who are more isolated, and people who live or work where it is hot. Therefore, these groups and the people and stakeholders who support them, must be meaningfully included in enhancing and implementing heat plans. A critical feature of community engagement should be to elicit information about communication preferences from populations most at-risk of heat-related health harms. Within these populations, awareness of the social, historical, economic, cultural, geographic and linguistic differences of individuals and groups may broaden the scope and impact of messaging.

Our recommendations for this Seasonal Bulletin are largely based upon expert opinions on the strategies that could be taken now to reduce the health effects of a 2023 heat emergency, given that we are already in the summer season and that emergency response plans and capacity have been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Even though the concepts of community engagement, coordinated action plans, and strong strategic partnerships are commonplace in the emergency preparedness literature,9-12 renewing these efforts immediately can help this season.

This document and supporting evidence prompt further OPHE SAC examination of concepts to ground future recommendations on heat event preparedness and response in Ontario. Areas of future work may include exploring how best to engage with communities, monitoring and surveillance, identifying risk and vulnerability, enhancing structural and built environment interventions (e.g., occupational and community access to cooling), and regulatory changes. Additionally, evaluating intervention effectiveness as well as incorporating community wants, needs and preferences are important considerations. OPHE SAC plans to scope topics and questions for additional review to strengthen population resilience and system preparedness for heat emergencies.
Resources


Glossary of Terms

**Agencies**: Groupings of individuals who mobilize to deliver a service, provide information, and/or advocate or connect with people on a common issue. Agencies may be included in the non-governmental or not-for-profit sector. This includes congregate settings like shelters and long term care facilities.

**Health care institutions**: Institutions, including hospitals, chronic care facilities, and health clinics, providing care or treatment to individuals.

**Heat emergency**: The province of Ontario does not outline formal parameters for defining a heat ‘emergency’. However, public health units may define heat emergencies locally (for example, a heat event in combination with a catastrophic event). In BC, a heat emergency is defined as higher than usual temperatures, getting hotter every day for 3 or more consecutive days.9

**Heat warning**: In Ontario’s HWIS system, a Heat Warning is issued by Environment and Climate Change Canada when specific temperature or humidex thresholds, which vary by provincial region, are forecast to occur for two or more consecutive days.13 An **Extended Heat Warning** occurs if these conditions persist for three or more days, however this change in warning label does not mandate an escalation in actions. ECCC may issue a **Special Weather Statement** at their discretion if conditions may pose health risks but do not meet the thresholds for a Heat Warning (e.g., duration less than two days). A Special Weather Statement also does not formally trigger any required responses at the affected PHU, municipal or local level.

**Indigenous organizations and agencies**: First Nations health authorities, First Nations Provincial/Territorial Organizations, Métis, Inuit, and Federal and Provincial partner tables. These are described in the Ontario Public Health Service protocol on Indigenous Engagement: [Relationship with Indigenous Communities Guideline, 2018 (gov.on.ca)](gov.on.ca)

**Municipalities**: A geographic area whose inhabitants are incorporated, including larger regional governments that take on some roles of smaller local governments.14

**Public health units**: Local government organizations offering healthy living and disease prevention information and programming to their communities. Public health units are led by a Medical Officer of Health, under the supervision of a local board of health.15

**Stakeholders**: Organizations and individuals that are affected by and/or respond to heat events.
Appendix A: Approach to Develop Recommendations

OPHESAC Working Group

To develop each recommendation, consistent methods were applied. The approach included four processes.

1. There was a process to identify and consider the best available evidence, primarily from published literature (rapid review).  
2. There was a process to identify and consider contextual information, based on expert insight and background materials (a scan of selected jurisdictions).
3. There was a process to incorporate the WG members’ collective expertise. The recommendations were developed in an iterative process by the WG members. An Evidence to Decision (EtD) framework-like approach was used when developing the recommendations to help wording and ensure consideration of key criteria such as certainty of evidence, resource implications, equity, feasibility and acceptability.
4. WG members engaged in a review and refinement process to complete each recommendation. The entire OPHESAC committee was then given the opportunity to provide two rounds of feedback on each recommendation. For the final consensus, a systematic approach allowed the OPHESAC committee members to indicate their level of agreement with each recommendation.

For all processes, the WG and the OPHESAC committee participated in online meetings to discuss and agree the approaches most appropriate at the time.

Specifically to generate the interim recommendations for the July 2023 heat bulletin, the WG made use of the following sources: discussions with experts and stakeholders, a recent jurisdictional scan for background contextual information and a recent rapid review focused on interventions. The scan and rapid review are published in separate documents. The WG held a series of six meetings from May 29th to June 30th that resulted in draft recommendations and a bulletin designed to provide some practical advice to public health units and the Chief Medical Officer of Health. The full OPHESAC committee reviewed and endorsed the bulletin and its recommendations (see process below).

OPHESAC Process to Affirm Recommendations

The process to affirm recommendations began with the WG chairs/co-chairs presenting the most recent recommendations to the OPHESAC committee to highlight key elements. Each presentation was followed by a brief discussion to clarify any points. Then the OPHESAC committee indicated their level of agreement, recommendation by recommendation.

Based on the OPHESAC Terms of Reference, two-thirds majority is needed for an item/recommendation to be approved. Therefore, if two-thirds of those in attendance agree with a recommendation (as written, minor edits) then the recommendation is considered complete. Where there is disagreement the recommendation is discussed based on the feedback in an attempt to improve the level of agreement. If major edits are made, both the old and the revised recommendations are presented for a second round of voting, with the responses from the first round also presented.
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Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Ontario Public Health Emergencies Science Advisory Committee (OPHESAC) for Public Health Ontario. OPHESAC provides evidence-based advice to Public Health Ontario to inform the management of public health emergencies, including COVID-19. OPHESAC work is guided by the evidence available at the time this document was prepared. The application and use of this document is the responsibility of the user. PHO assumes no liability resulting from any such application or use. This document may be reproduced without permission for non-commercial purposes only and provided that appropriate credit is given to PHO. No changes may be made to this document without prior and expressed written permission from PHO.

Questions about the information in this document can be sent to communications@oahpp.ca.
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