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Additional Abbreviations for this Annex

Refer to abbreviations in ‘Routine Practices and Additional Precautions in All Health Care Settings’ for additional abbreviations not found in this annex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARO</td>
<td>Antibiotic-Resistant Organism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-MRSA</td>
<td>Community-Associated Methicillin-Resistant <em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHG</td>
<td>Chlorhexidine Gluconate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPE</td>
<td>Carbapenemase-producing <em>Enterobacteriaceae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBL</td>
<td>Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>Intensive Care Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>Minimal Inhibitory Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSSA</td>
<td>Methicillin-Sensitive <em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISA</td>
<td>Vancomycin-Intermediate <em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRSA</td>
<td>Vancomycin-Resistant <em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glossary of Additional Terms for this Annex

Refer to glossary in ‘Routine Practices and Additional Precautions in All Health Care Settings’ for additional terms not found in this annex.

**Antibiotic-resistant Organisms (ARO):** A microorganism that has developed resistance to the action of several antimicrobial agents and that is of special clinical or epidemiological significance.

**Case:** An individual who is infected or colonized with an antibiotic-resistant organism.

**Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA):** There are two different definitions of CA-MRSA: one is based on epidemiology and one is based on microbiologic typing. Isolates of CA-MRSA are obtained from individuals who develop infections in the community and who have not had recent exposure to the health care system (epidemiologic definition). These are usually particular strains of MRSA (e.g., CMRSA-10) that are different from the MRSA strains found in hospitals (e.g., CMRSA-2), with a different methicillin-resistance gene (e.g., SCCmec IV, vs. SCCmec II) and often with additional virulence factors (microbiologic definition). However, hospital-type MRSA strains can be transmitted in the community and community-type MRSA strains can be transmitted in hospitals. For the purposes of managing MRSA in health care settings, the epidemiologic definition of CA-MRSA should be used.

**Contact:** An individual who is exposed to a person colonized or infected with an antibiotic-resistant organism in a manner that allows transmission to occur (e.g., roommate).

**Decolonization:** The use of topical and systemic antimicrobials to eradicate colonization of resistant bacteria.

**Endemic:** The constant presence of a disease or infectious agent within a certain area.

**Isolate:** A pure strain of a bacterium that has been cultured in the laboratory.

**Methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA):** MSSA are strains of *S. aureus* that have an MIC to oxacillin of ≤ 2 mcg/ml. They may be treated with the beta-lactam classes of antibiotics (such as penicillinase-resistant penicillins (e.g., cloxacillin) and cephalosporins.
**Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC):** The lowest concentration of an antibiotic that will inhibit growth of a microorganism.

**Outbreak:** For the purposes of this document, an outbreak is an increase in the number of cases (colonizations and/or infections) above the number normally occurring in a particular health care setting over a defined period of time.

**Prevalence Survey:** Surveillance for all existing and new nosocomial infections and/or colonizations in a health care setting either on a single day (*point prevalence*) or over a specified number of days (*period prevalence*). A prevalence survey can provide a rapid way to estimate the magnitude of health care-associated infections in a health care setting at a single point in time (e.g., screening all clients/patients/residents in a defined area, such as a specific unit, at a single point in time to determine how many are colonized with a specific microorganism).

**Screening:** A process to identify clients/patients/residents at risk for being colonized with antibiotic-resistant organisms and, if risk factors are identified, obtaining appropriate specimens (See Appendix B for examples of screening tools).

**Sentinel Event:** A colonization/infection in which the occurrence of perhaps even a single case may signal the need to re-examine preventive practices.

Preamble

About This Annex

This annex is added as an extension to the Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion’s (Public Health Ontario) ‘Routine Practices and Additional Precautions in All Health Care Settings’ and deals specifically with the screening, laboratory testing and surveillance of antibiotic-resistant organisms (AROs), such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* (VISA), vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (VRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) and resistant Gram-negative bacilli, such as extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria and carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE), in health care settings across the continuum of care including, but not limited to, acute care, long-term care, chronic (including mental health) care and home health care.

The infection prevention and control management of health care-associated MRSA and community-associated MRSA is the same\(^1\) and is detailed in *Routine Practices and Additional Precautions in All Health Care Settings*.

- Refer to PIDAC’s *Best Practices for Surveillance of Health Care-Associated Infections in Patient and Resident Populations*\(^2\) for information regarding surveillance methodology and interpretation of data. Available online at:
  
Introduction

The advent of antimicrobial resistance has resulted in the development and increased transmission of several significant pathogenic microorganisms (e.g., MRSA, VRE, ESBL, CPE) that have the potential to negatively impact client/patient/resident morbidity and mortality. There is evidence to show that rates of transmission of AROs are related to infection prevention and control practices in health care settings. Early interventions that focus on preventing cross-transmission have been shown to have a greater relative impact in controlling AROs and preventing endemicity in a facility than other control measures.

An infection prevention and control program for AROs, that emphasizes early identification of colonized clients/patients/residents through active surveillance cultures and the use of Contact Precautions for preventing transmission, reduces the prevalence and incidence of both colonization and infection, improves patient outcomes and reduces health care costs.

The care requirements for clients/patients/residents colonized with AROs can be met in all health care settings in Ontario. As with care for clients/patients/residents with disabilities or cognitive deficits, care for clients/patients/residents with AROs may require individualized assessment and appropriate resource allocation.

All health care settings in Ontario must be able to manage patients who are colonized with antibiotic resistant organisms.

A. The Case for Prevention and Control of Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms

Infectious diseases continue to be a public health and patient safety concern. Antibiotic resistance is a serious threat to the treatment of infectious diseases. Although AROs have a long history, the incidence has increased rapidly only in the last 50 years. With the rise in MRSA and VRE has come the need for measures to prevent and control the spread of these microorganisms. Since the usual method of acquisition of MRSA and VRE infection is via direct or indirect contact, it is possible to prevent infections caused by these microorganisms by instituting a set of practices and procedures that will prevent transmission of MRSA and VRE to clients/patients/residents. Such prevention and control efforts are necessary to protect the health and improve outcomes of clients/patients/residents, but also to lessen the burden of MRSA and VRE on health care systems.

In acute care, MRSA and VRE infection and colonization have been shown to have a significant impact on patient outcomes, quality of care and duration of hospitalization:

- Patients infected with MRSA or VRE have been shown to have a higher incidence of mortality, particularly those with MRSA bacteraemia or VRE bacteraemia.
- The use of Contact Precautions to manage MRSA and VRE may impact on a patient’s care and quality of life.
- The duration of stay in hospital for patients with MRSA and VRE is often longer than for those without MRSA and VRE.

Increasing numbers of clients/patients/residents with MRSA and VRE and the additional costs required for their care can lead to a dramatic increase the economic burden of health care costs.
that the cost of MRSA in Canada ranges from $41.7 million to $58.7 million (1998 CAD).\(^{41}\) Managing a patient with MRSA infection is estimated to cost $14,841 (2006 CAD), with an incremental cost due to the MRSA of $8,997.\(^{42}\) MRSA bacteraemia has been shown to be associated with higher hospital costs compared to MSSA (methicillin-sensitive S. aureus) bacteraemia.\(^{23, 39, 44}\) In comparison, the incremental cost to prevent a case of MRSA has been shown to be approximately $20 (2006 CAD).\(^{42}\) Even in settings where MRSA has become endemic, control measures have been found to be cost-effective.\(^{10-13}\)

Costs associated with VRE bacteraemia are significantly greater than with VSE (vancomycin-sensitive enterococcus) bacteraemia.\(^{24, 46-48}\) While infection control practices for VRE may initially increase the cost of health services delivery, studies evaluating the cost of treatment of additional VRE bacteraemia and increased length of stay in the absence of control measures have found that VRE control programs are cost-effective and justify the costs of preventive measures.\(^{49, 50}\)

ESBL-producing bacteria have been implicated in a number of outbreaks in hospitals\(^{51, 52}\) and long-term care homes\(^{53, 54}\) since the first reported case in 1983. Infections due to ESBL-producing bacteria are associated with increased mortality, length of hospital stay and health care costs. Outbreaks have been successfully controlled by a combination of active surveillance cultures, Contact Precautions and antibiotic restriction. The costs associated with infection control measures for ESBL-producing bacteria have been evaluated at $3,567 per patient for new cases and $2,793 per patient when known ESBL cases are readmitted (2005 CAD).\(^{55}\) In contrast, the mean cost associated with a case of ESBL bacteraemia has been estimated to be $9,620 (USD)\(^{56}\) and the attributable costs of an ESBL outbreak in a neonatal intensive care unit were estimated at $16,000 per infected or colonized infant.\(^{52}\)

The use of these Best Practices to prevent transmission of AROs will not only protect patients from the high morbidity and mortality associated with infection and colonization, but will also reduce associated costs to the health care system.

**B. Clients/Patients/Residents at Increased Risk for Acquiring Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms (AROs)**

Increased risk for acquiring AROs is related to both the individual client/patient/resident’s own host risk factors as well as to the amount of time that is spent in a setting where they are exposed to these microorganisms. Both of these factors must be taken into consideration in order to assess an individual’s acquisition risk.

Host risk factors are those conditions that put an individual at higher risk of acquiring an infection due to immune system compromise. They include clinical conditions such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), transplant recipients and burn victims, as well as treatments that bypass the immune system, such as the use of indwelling medical devices. Exposure to certain classes of antibiotics also puts individuals at increased risk for infection.

Some environments have been shown to be more conducive than others to acquisition of AROs. These include in-hospital areas such as critical care units, burn units and units that have had recent outbreaks, as well as external environments such as health care settings outside Canada, communal settings and facilities where an ARO has become endemic.
General Requirements

Screening is the collection of specimens from specific body sites known to be associated with colonization by a specific microorganism. Screening is conducted to identify clients/patients/residents who are colonized and/or infected with specific AROs. Screening is not a control measure in itself and Routine Practices must be practiced with all clients/patients/residents at all times whether or not screening is conducted; however, identifying clients/patients/residents who are infected or colonized with an ARO is necessary in order to apply further control measures such as placement and Contact Precautions.

There is currently a lack of consensus about the value of screening cultures for resistant Gram-negative bacilli (such as ESBL-producing bacteria). Studies are underway to assess the utility of admission screening for ESBLs. If a health care setting does ESBL screening, the benefits and costs should be carefully considered and results should be carefully evaluated.

Infection Prevention and Control Professionals (ICPs) should work closely with their microbiology laboratory to ensure that they are notified whenever an ARO is identified. Most laboratories are able to identify AROs collated by type of microorganism, date and/or location. Many laboratory information systems also include epidemiology software that may be of use to the Infection Prevention and Control program. Good dialogue between the two departments is essential to maximize the resources that are available.

A. Screening for AROs

Most MRSA, VRE and CPE guidelines recommend some form of targeted screening of high-risk patients/residents, but differ in their definition of *high-risk*\(^{13,57,58}\) and there is no compelling evidence as to which patients/residents should be screened. Once an individual’s risk of acquiring MRSA or VRE has been assessed, decisions may be made regarding screening protocols. Ongoing monitoring of local epidemiology and results of previous screening will then determine whether modifications to screening protocols are required.

Infection Prevention and Control should assess whether other AROs of significance to their health care setting should be tracked and flagged (e.g., ESBL).

The goal of admission screening for a particular microorganism is to identify all patients/residents who are admitted to a facility with that microorganism. Screening takes place at the earliest point at which the patient/resident has been identified for admission. Several studies have shown that up to 50% of MRSA cases in hospital may be identified through admission screening.\(^{59,60}\) In countries where MRSA is well-controlled, active screening is an integral part of their approach.\(^{61,62}\)

Though some studies indicate that universal/admission screening may be cost-effective,\(^{12}\) other evidence suggests that targeted screening has similar sensitivity to universal screening\(^{63}\) and that it may be an effective strategy when combined with other control measures, particularly in non-critical settings.\(^{60,64-67}\)

The screening recommendations described in this annex are based on evidence related to risk factors that might put certain clients/patients/residents at increased risk for acquisition of an ARO.

B. Role of the Laboratory

Infection Prevention and Control programs must have an established working relationship with a Microbiology laboratory. The laboratory should be adequately resourced to handle screening specimens and be able to provide timely advice regarding patients colonized or infected with AROs such as MRSA, VRE, CPE or ESBL-producing bacteria. Infection Prevention and Control must be notified about suspected AROs prior to final confirmation.
When a new case of ARO is identified by the laboratory from a single positive specimen from a single site, screening should be repeated to ensure that this is not a false-positive result:

- Mislabelling of specimens may have occurred at the unit or ward level.
- Errors can occur at both the pre-analytical and post-analytical stages of laboratory processing.
- If results of both sets of specimens do not concur, an investigation must be performed to identify the reasons for the discrepancy.

Microbiology laboratories should have resources to enable long-term storage of first isolates of MRSA and VRE on clients/patients/residents, for a minimum of six months. They should also have access to molecular typing methodologies, when required.

C. Communications

Good communication with other health care settings regarding the status of a client/patient/resident who has had, or who will have, contact with them is important:

- If a client/patient/resident is identified with an ARO at admission and has been transferred from another health care setting, that health care setting should be notified of the results.
- If a client/patient/resident is identified with an ARO following transfer to another health care setting, the receiving health care setting should be notified of the results.
- If a client/patient/resident is identified with an ARO following discharge home, the client/patient/resident or family physician should be notified of the results.
- If a contact of a client/patient/resident with an ARO is identified as being a contact following transfer to another health care setting or after being discharged home, the receiving health care setting, family physician or physician most responsible for care should be notified of the contact in order to make decisions regarding additional follow-up.

➤ See Appendix E, ‘Sample Letters for Physicians’ for suggested communications.

D. Information Management

Tracking clients/patients/residents who are colonized or infected with AROs (e.g., by flagging their chart or electronic file) and their contacts has been shown to improve identification and appropriate management of such clients/patients/residents on readmission. 68

E. Antibiotic Stewardship

Many AROs are associated with the use of antibiotics. For example, the risk of MRSA has been related to the duration and frequency of prior antibiotic use. 13, 69 In addition, excessive use of antibiotics is thought to promote the spread of MRSA by reducing resistance to colonization in clients/patients/residents and by giving resistant strains a survival advantage. 70

Antibiotic stewardship programs have been shown to result in significant reductions in colonization with AROs, lower infection rates 57 and significant cost savings to the health care setting. 71, 72 Judicious antibiotic use includes 13, 57:

- avoidance of inappropriate or excessive antibiotic therapy and prophylaxis 73
- ensuring that antibiotics are given at the correct dosage and for an appropriate duration 74
- reducing the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, particularly third-generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones, to what is clinically appropriate 75-77
instituting antibiotic stewardship programs in health care facilities, key components of which include the identification of key personnel who are responsible for this; surveillance of antibiotic resistance and antibiotic consumption; and prescriber education.

The elements of a successful antibiotic stewardship program include:

- prospective audit of antimicrobial use with direct interaction and feedback to the prescriber, performed by either an infectious diseases physician or a clinical pharmacist with infectious diseases training
- formulary restriction and preauthorization requirements
- education aimed at influencing prescribing behaviour
- multidisciplinary development of evidence-based practice guidelines incorporating local microbiology and resistance patterns
- use of antimicrobial order forms
- streamlining or de-escalation of empirical antimicrobial therapy on the basis of culture results and the elimination of redundant combination therapy
- optimization of antimicrobial dosing based on individual patient characteristics, causative microorganism, site of infection and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of the drug
- a systematic plan for parenteral to oral conversion of antimicrobials with excellent bioavailability, when the patient’s condition allows, based on clinical criteria and guidelines
- availability of health care information in the form of electronic medical records and clinical decision support
- computer-based surveillance that tracks antimicrobial resistance patterns, identification of nosocomial infections and adverse drug events
- provision of patient-specific culture and susceptibility data by the microbiology laboratory
- monitoring of process and outcome measures.

Refer to Public Health Ontario’s website for information on developing an ASP program in your facility: [http://www.oahpp.ca/services/antimicrobial-stewardship-program.html](http://www.oahpp.ca/services/antimicrobial-stewardship-program.html).

**Recommendations**

**NOTE:** For these recommendations, AROs should be interpreted to include MRSA, VRE and CPE and may include other resistant bacteria of importance to the facility, e.g., ESBL.

1. **Laboratories should recognize that turnaround time is a critical issue in the prevention of transmission of AROs. Infection Prevention and Control Professionals (ICPs) and their laboratories should have reporting systems that notify ICPs of suspected AROs prior to final confirmation. [AIII]**

2. **The laboratory should employ methodologies that allow for as rapid as possible turnaround time for screening specimens for AROs. [AII]**

3. **Laboratories should save isolates of AROs (one isolate per patient) for a minimum of six months. [AIII]**

4. **Whenever a single positive result is obtained from a specimen from a single site identifying a new ARO case, consideration should be given to confirming with a repeat specimen to rule out error. [CIII]**

5. **Laboratory support during outbreak investigation should include the ability to obtain molecular typing. [AIII]**

6. **A tracking system (preferably electronic) and database of flagged clients/patients/residents should be in place to help identify them on readmission. [BII]**

7. **The Infection Prevention and Control Professional(s) of the health care setting should have the responsibility to determine flagging and unflagging of clients/patients/residents with AROs. [CIII]**
8. A flag (e.g., electronic notification, chart sticker) should be placed on the electronic/paper chart of any client/patient/resident who is colonized or infected with an ARO and the status noted for their specific ARO(s) in the medical record. Flags must protect the confidentiality of the client/patient/resident. [BII]

9. A flag (e.g., electronic notification, chart sticker) should be placed on the electronic/paper chart of any client/patient/resident who is considered to be a contact of an ARO case, but who has subsequently been discharged, to enable screening on readmission. Flags must protect the confidentiality of the client/patient/resident. [BII]

10. In addition to establishing control programs for MRSA, VRE and CPE, infection prevention and control programs should assess whether other AROs of significance to their health care setting should be tracked and flagged (e.g., ESBL). [BIII]

11. Policies and procedures should be implemented to promote judicious antibiotic use, in order to limit the increase and spread of AROs. [AII]

12. Health care settings should institute formulary control of antibiotics and should conduct regular reviews of antibiotic use. [AIII]
Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms in Health Care Settings

A. Resistant Staphylococcus aureus

1. What is Staphylococcus aureus?

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an aerobic Gram-positive coccoid bacterium that periodically lives on the skin and mucous membranes of a large proportion of healthy adults (60% or more) without causing illness. These individuals are said to be ‘colonized’ with the microorganism. Ten to twenty per cent of people are persistently colonized with *S. aureus*. Those who are non-carriers and are never colonized with *S. aureus* are in the minority. Occasionally, *S. aureus* might be the cause of infections such as impetigo, carbuncles and abscesses or more invasive disease. *S. aureus* is the single most common cause of hospital-associated infection.

2. What is Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)?

When *S. aureus* develops reduced susceptibility to the β-lactam class of antibiotics (e.g., cloxacillin) it is known as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). While MRSA is more resistant to some treatments than methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA), there is little evidence to suggest that it is more pathogenic or virulent (i.e., more likely to cause infection or more severe infection) than MSSA. Infection with MRSA is associated with higher case fatality rates than MSSA. Most experts believe that this is because infection with MRSA may result in greater delay in the time to initiation of appropriate therapy than infection with MSSA. MRSA may be either health care-associated or community-associated (CA-MRSA).

*Community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA)* refers to strains linked to colonization and transmission in the community. There are two different definitions of CA-MRSA: one is based on epidemiology and one is based on microbiologic typing. Isolates of CA-MRSA are obtained from individuals who develop infections in the community and who have not had recent exposure to the health care system (epidemiologic definition). These are usually strains of MRSA (e.g., CMRSA-10) that are different from the MRSA strains found in hospitals (e.g., CMRSA-2), with a different methicillin-resistance gene (e.g., SCCmec IV, vs. SCCmec II) and often with additional virulence factors (microbiologic definition). However, hospital-type MRSA strains can be transmitted in the community and community-type MRSA strains can be transmitted in hospitals. For the purposes of managing MRSA in health care settings, the epidemiologic definition of CA-MRSA should be used.

3. Current Status of MRSA in Canada and Ontario

Though MRSA is not a reportable disease in Canada, laboratory-based surveillance of MRSA in sentinel Canadian hospitals has been carried out since 1995. The incidence of MRSA (infection and colonization) among admitted cases has increased steadily from 0.44 cases per 1,000 patient admissions in 1995 to 9.5 cases per 1,000 admissions in 2010, with most of the increase occurring in Ontario and Quebec.

In Ontario there were 19,962 patients identified with MRSA colonization or infection in 2011, a 5% decrease over 2010. Data on 56% of these patients indicated that 38% acquired MRSA in an acute care hospital, 14% in a nursing home and 44% in the community. This reflects a slight decrease in MRSA acquisition in institutions and a corresponding increase in community acquisition.

The number of reported MRSA bacteraemias in Ontario in 2011 was 560, a 13% increase over the 2010 number of 496. Overall, 17% of *S. aureus* isolates from blood cultures were MRSA, up from 15% in 2010.
4. MRSA Acquisition and Transmission

Risk factors for MRSA acquisition in the health care setting include invasive procedures, prior treatment with antibiotics, prolonged hospital stay, stay in an intensive care or burn unit, surgical wound infection and close proximity to a colonized client/patient/resident. MRSA is most commonly spread via the transiently colonized hands of health care workers who acquire it from contact with colonized or infected clients/patients/residents, or after handling contaminated material or equipment. Hand hygiene and environmental surface cleaning are, therefore, important measures to prevent transmission. 


Most items in the health care environment, especially those frequently touched by the hands of health care workers or clients/patients/residents have been shown to become contaminated with MRSA:

- Contamination of environmental surfaces such as medical equipment, hospital furnishings, hydrotherapy tubs, linens, tourniquets, computer keyboards, faucets and nebulizers has been described. In some cases these may serve as a means of transmission in certain settings.
- The environment may be a factor for fomite transmission in any setting, particularly in special settings such as burn units or intensive care units.

There is evidence that some individuals may act as ‘super-shedders’ of MRSA when co-infected with a respiratory virus and that they can spread MRSA via respiratory droplets (the ‘cloud’ phenomenon). In some settings, such as intensive care units, chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) baths have resulted in lower acquisition rates of MRSA. In intensive care settings, daily bathing of all patients with 4% CHG has been shown to reduce new acquisition of MRSA by 32%, as well as reduce cases of bacteraemia with MRSA.

5. What are VISA and VRSA?

Vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus (VISA) is a strain of MRSA that has a reduced susceptibility to vancomycin with an MIC of 8 to 16 mcg/ml.

Vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (VRSA) is a strain of MRSA that contains the resistance genes Van-A or Van-B, with an MIC to vancomycin of ≥ 32 mcg/ml. To date all VRSA have contained vancomycin-resistance genes transferred from VRE strains.

Generally VISA and VRSA arise in patients who have been colonized or infected with MRSA and have received multiple or prolonged courses of vancomycin. Additionally, most cases have been co-colonized with MRSA and VRE for prolonged periods of time.
6. **VISA/VRSA Acquisition and Transmission**

The emergence of vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* (VISA) and vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (VRSA) have the potential for serious public health consequences if transmission between patients occurs. However, although 12 cases of VRSA have been reported in the United States, eight of which occurred in southeast Michigan, initial fears of widespread dissemination of VRSA have not been realized despite years of co-circulation of MRSA and VRE in some jurisdictions, although the risk continues to exist. Because there is a lack of epidemiological data on the spread of VISA and VRSA, a more extensive form of the Contact Precautions as outlined in this annex is recommended for cases.

7. **Current Status of VISA and VRSA in Canada and Ontario**

Although there have been several cases of VISA and VRSA described in other countries, to date there have been no cases of VRSA reported in Canada and only a single reported case of VISA. Identification of VISA or VRSA must be treated as a sentinel event. The Medical Officer of Health may be advised non-nominally whenever VISA or VRSA is isolated. All isolates of VISA and VRSA should be forwarded to the public health laboratory for confirmation.

Each case of VISA/VRSA must be managed with Contact Precautions. Additional restrictions in client/patient/resident movement and limitations to visitors and non-essential staff are required.

8. **Screening Patients/Residents for MRSA**

**RISK FACTORS FOR MRSA ACQUISITION:**

**Definite Risk Factor**

- Previous colonization or infection with MRSA
- >12 hours in any health care facility (including this one) in the past 12 months
- Recent exposure to unit/area of a health care facility having an MRSA outbreak
- Health care in another country

**Possible Risk Factor**

- Home health care
- Indwelling device
- ICU, burn unit, transplant unit
- Communal setting
- Injection drug use
- Household contact of patient with MRSA
- Immunocompromised
- CA-MRSA risk (e.g., sports teams)
Regulated health professionals in health care facilities are expected to take screening specimens from clients/patients/residents at increased risk for MRSA on admission as part of an MRSA prevention and control program. The following clients/patients/residents are at increased risk for MRSA and should be screened at admission for MRSA:

- those who have previously been colonized or infected with MRSA
- those who have spent time in a health care facility outside of Canada in the last 12 months
- those who have been admitted to, or who have spent more than 12 continuous hours as a client/patient/resident in, any health care facility in the past 12 months
- those transferred between health care facilities (e.g., between hospitals or between a long-term care facility and a hospital)
- those who have recently been exposed to a unit/area of a health care facility with an MRSA outbreak
- other high-risk client/patient/resident populations as identified by the ICP(s) (e.g., internal transfers, such as admission to an intensive care unit) or Public Health.

Based on local epidemiology and risk factors, additional individuals may be considered for MRSA screening:

- those receiving home health care services in the past year
- those receiving treatment with an indwelling medical device
- those receiving care in intensive care units, transplant units, burn units
- those living in a communal setting (e.g., shelter, halfway home, correctional facility)
- those with a history of injection drug use
- those who are household contacts of people with MRSA
- those who are immunocompromised
- individuals from populations where community-associated MRSA is known to be a problem (e.g., organized sports teams).

Monitor changes in the local epidemiology and local risk factors for MRSA and adjust screening accordingly.

9. Screening Contacts of MRSA Cases

An MRSA contact is a client/patient/resident who has been a roommate or has been in physical contact with a client/patient/resident subsequently found to have MRSA (i.e., once MRSA is identified in a client/patient/resident, all previous roommates become new contacts). In an outbreak, a contact is a client/patient/resident who has common risk factors to cases (e.g., same unit, same procedure, same staff).

Any client/patient/resident who is considered to be an MRSA contact should have follow-up screening specimens, with at least two specimens taken on different days, with one taken a minimum of seven days following the last exposure.

Client/patient/resident contacts should be re-screened when new cases of MRSA continue to be identified despite active control measures.

See Appendix D, ‘Sample Investigation Protocols for MRSA and VRE in Acute Care Facilities’, for a sample investigation protocol that may be used following identification of MRSA in your facility.

See Section VI, ‘Managing Outbreaks’, for more information regarding contacts.
10. **Point Prevalence Screening**

A point prevalence screen is the collection of specimens on all clients/patients/residents at a single point in time, to determine the total number of cases and evidence of ongoing transmission of a particular microorganism:

- Point prevalence screens should be conducted on units/areas where clients/patients/residents are at high risk for acquiring MRSA during their stay in the health care setting.\(^{13, 57, 65}\)
- Clients/patients/residents at high risk include those on burn units or other high-risk units such as intensive care units, transplantation units, or other units as defined by the ICP.
- Point prevalence screens should be conducted, and should continue to be conducted, until no further transmission is detected; in general this means at least two prevalence screens, taken after the last transmission was detected and at least a week apart, in any area where MRSA transmission is occurring.\(^{13, 57}\)

- **See Appendix D, ‘Sample Investigation Protocols for MRSA and VRE’, for guidance in conducting prevalence screens.**


11. **Screening Staff for MRSA**

Screening staff for MRSA should be considered when an outbreak of the same strain of MRSA continues despite adherence to control measures,\(^{57, 131}\) or when a staff member is epidemiologically linked to new acquisitions of MRSA.\(^{132}\) Staff who are concerned about exposure to, or may be colonized with, MRSA should receive assessment and counselling from their Occupational Health department or other area that will protect the confidentiality of the individual.

In the event of an MRSA outbreak, heightened surveillance for skin and soft tissue infections in staff is warranted (e.g., folliculitis, paronychia).

- **Refer to the OHA/oma publication, Antibiotic Resistant Organisms Surveillance Protocol for Ontario Hospitals**\(^{132}\) for more information about the management of health care workers exposed to MRSA and VRE (available at: [http://www.oha.com/Services/HealthSafety/Documents/Protocols/Antiobiotic%20Resistant%20Organisms%20Revised%20June%202011.pdf](http://www.oha.com/Services/HealthSafety/Documents/Protocols/Antiobiotic%20Resistant%20Organisms%20Revised%20June%202011.pdf)).

12. **Collection and Timing of Specimens for MRSA**

MRSA may not be identified in some clients/patients/residents when they are colonized at a level that is too low to be detected by culture. In these clients/patients/residents, MRSA will not be detected until the microbial population has increased over a period of time. One study found that MRSA acquired from a roommate was not detectable until 9–10 weeks following the exposure.\(^{129}\) This study suggested that post-exposure screening continue until six months post-exposure.

Molecular testing methods, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have a shorter turnaround time,\(^{133}\) may be more sensitive and may detect lower levels of colonization than traditional culture methods, but may result in more false-positive results due to lower specificity.\(^{134, 135}\) Cultures should be used to confirm positive PCR results.\(^{136}\)

The PCR assay has been validated for both nasal and non-nasal specimens.\(^{135, 137}\) Specimens from the anterior nares have been shown to result in the highest yield of MRSA\(^{138}\), with some studies indicating a sensitivity of...
over 90%. However, MRSA has been identified exclusively from the perianal/perineal area in some patients (2%-19% in various studies) as well as the groin. Several studies of PCR assays have shown a better yield of MRSA when both nares and perianal/perineal sites are sampled, with up to 96% sensitivity. A combination of nares and perianal/perineal cultures is recommended for highest yield of MRSA, even if PCR testing is used.

If community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) is suspected, cultures of recurrent furuncles, abscesses or other skin lesions should be considered in addition to the sites noted above. For children and youth, throat swabs may have greater sensitivity than nasal swabs alone for detecting MRSA. When screening newborn infants, a swab from the umbilicus should be taken.

Non-nasal specimens may be negative for MRSA in patients who have recently had an antimicrobial bath. Specimens may be falsely negative if the patient is on an antibiotic to which the microorganism is sensitive. Surveillance specimens should be taken only after the antibiotic has been discontinued for at least 48 hours.

Specimens for detection of MRSA should include:
- a swab from the anterior nares;
- a swab from the perianal, perineal or groin area (perianal preferred);
- a swab(s) from skin lesions, wounds, incisions, ulcers and exit sites of indwelling devices, if present, using aseptic technique where indicated;
- for newborn infants, a swab from the umbilicus should also be taken for MRSA.

See Appendix A, ‘Collecting Specimens for MRSA, VRE, CPE and ESBL’, for instruction in obtaining specimens for MRSA.

13. MRSA Decolonization

Decolonization refers to the use of topical agents, such as nasal antimicrobial ointment and body wash and/or oral antibiotics, to remove resistant bacteria from a colonized individual.

CLIENT/PATIENT/RESIDENT DECOLONIZATION

Decolonization has been used, along with other measures, to help control the spread of MRSA in some centres and may be considered when a colonized client/patient/resident is implicated in an outbreak, but this should be done in consultation with the health care setting’s ICP.

Short term success at decolonization may be achievable. In a 2007 Canadian study, MRSA colonization was eradicated for at least three months with a combination of treatments consisting of topical mupirocin, chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) washes, oral rifampin and oral doxycycline.

MRSA decolonization failure is related to several factors:
- presence of a skin lesion
- presence of indwelling devices
- receipt of immunosuppressive therapy
- receipt of hemodialysis
- mupirocin resistance.

Current evidence does not recommend widespread or prolonged antibiotic therapy for decolonization of MRSA as this may promote antibiotic resistance, long-term efficacy is poor and systemic therapy may lead to adverse events. Decolonization therapy with topical antibiotics alone is not effective.
If decolonization therapy is attempted, attention must be given to scrupulously cleaning the client/patient/resident’s environment in order to decrease the risk of re-colonization, as the environment can play a role in transmission. Long term surveillance (e.g., monthly) is recommended to detect relapse or re-colonization.

**STAFF DECOLONIZATION**

The risk of staff acquisition of MRSA is low and is significantly reduced if staff follow Routine Practices, perform hand hygiene and wear PPE appropriately. Most experts believe that with adequate adherence to hand hygiene and Routine Practices, there is no risk of staff acquisition of MRSA. When other measures have failed, treating healthcare workers who are colonized or infected with the outbreak strain of MRSA and who are epidemiologically implicated in an outbreak has been shown to help control the outbreak. The benefit of decolonization is unclear if staff are colonized or infected with a strain of MRSA that is different from the outbreak strain.


**B. Resistant Enterococci**

1. **What are Enterococci?**

Enterococci are facultative anaerobic Gram-positive coccoid bacteria that live in the gastrointestinal tract of most individuals and can also be present in the anterior urethra, vagina, skin, oropharynx and/or bile. Enterococci may also colonize wounds, ulcers and medical device sites in hospitalized patients, and are a common cause of health care-associated infection.

2. **What are Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci (VRE)?**

Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are strains of *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis* that have become resistant to high levels of the antibiotic vancomycin. The majority of individuals who have VRE are colonized with it. In some high-risk patient populations (e.g., those with haematological malignancies), there are higher rates of VRE bacteraemia after colonization and higher mortality associated with VRE bacteraemia compared to VSE bacteraemia. For more information on VRE, see PIDAC’s “Review of Literature for Evidence-based Best Practices for VRE Control”, available at: http://www.oahpp.ca/resources/pidac-knowledge/best-practice-manuals/review-of-literature-for-evidence-based-best-practices-for-VRE-control.html.

3. **Current Status of VRE in Canada and Ontario**

Results from the passive reporting network for VRE in Canada show that VRE infection rates have risen sharply since 2007. The incidence of VRE infections was 0.06 cases per 1,000 admissions in 2006 and 0.5 cases per 1,000 admissions in 2011. The VRE colonization rate has had a comparable increase, with 857 patients colonized with VRE in 2006 and 5,515 patients colonized with VRE in 2011.

In Ontario, the incidence of VRE has increased, with 7,643 patients colonized or infected with VRE in 2011 compared to 5,567 patients in 2010, a 37% increase. The number of patients with VRE bacteraemia doubled, from 28 patients in 2010 to 57 patients in 2011. The majority of patients were thought to have acquired VRE in
acute-care hospitals (85%), 5% were thought to have acquired VRE in nursing homes and 7% were acquired in the community. These proportions have not changed significantly over time.

4. VRE Acquisition and Transmission

**RISK FACTORS FOR VRE ACQUISITION:**

**Definite Risk Factor**
- Previous colonization or infection with VRE
- >12 hours in any health care facility (including this one) in the past 12 months
- Recent exposure to unit/area of a health care facility having a VRE outbreak
- Health care in another country

**Possible Risk Factor**
- Recent exposure to 2nd- and third-generation cephalosporins

Risk factors for VRE acquisition include severity of underlying illness, presence of invasive devices, prior colonization with VRE, antibiotic use and length of hospital stay.111

VRE is most commonly spread via the transiently colonized hands of health care workers who acquire it from contact with colonized or infected clients/patients/residents151, or after handling contaminated material or equipment. Hospitalized patients with gastrointestinal carriage of VRE are the major reservoir.152


VRE transmission via environmental sources is well recognized and includes most items in the health care environment, such as blood pressure cuffs, electronic thermometers, monitoring devices, stethoscopes, call bells and bed rails.153 Contamination of the environment with VRE is more likely when a client/patient/resident has diarrhoea.151, 153

In some settings, such as intensive care units, chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) baths have resulted in lower acquisition rates of VRE. In intensive care settings, daily bathing of all patients with 4% CHG has been shown to reduce new acquisition of VRE by 50%,96 as well as reduce cases of bacteraemia with VRE.96, 98

5. **Screening Patients/Residents for VRE**

Regulated health professionals in health care facilities are expected to take screening specimens from clients/patients/residents at increased risk for VRE on admission as part of a VRE prevention and control program.\(^{62-67, 110}\).

- The following clients/patients/residents are at increased risk for VRE and should be screened at admission for VRE:
  - those who have previously been colonized or infected with VRE\(^{111, 112}\)
  - those who have spent time in a health care facility outside of Canada in the last 12 months
  - those who have been admitted to, or who have spent more than 12 continuous hours as a client/patient/resident in, any health care facility in the past 12 months\(^{12, 113}\)
  - those transferred between health care facilities (e.g., between hospitals or between a long-term care facility and a hospital)\(^{114}\)
  - those who have recently been exposed to a unit/area of a health care facility with a VRE outbreak
  - other high-risk client/patient/resident populations as identified by the ICP(s) (e.g., internal transfers, such as admission to an intensive care unit) or Public Health

- Monitor changes in the local epidemiology and local risk factors for VRE and adjust screening accordingly.

6. **Screening Contacts of VRE Cases**

A VRE contact is:

a) a patient/resident who has been a roommate or has been in physical contact with an unidentified client/patient/resident subsequently found to have VRE (i.e., once VRE is identified in a client/patient/resident, all previous roommates become new contacts);

b) a patient/resident admitted to a room previously occupied by a patient/resident who has been identified with VRE and which was not cleaned according to the facility’s protocol for cleaning a room contaminated with VRE\(^{154}\); and/or

c) a patient/resident who has common risk factors to cases during an outbreak (e.g., same unit, same procedure, same staff).

VRE contacts should:

- have follow-up specimens, with at least two specimens taken on different days, with one taken a minimum of seven days following the last exposure to VRE
- be re-screened when new cases of VRE continue to be identified despite active control measures.

- See Appendix D, ‘Sample Investigation Protocols for MRSA and VRE in Acute Care Facilities’, for a sample investigation protocol that may be used following identification of VRE in your facility.

- See Section VI, ‘Managing Outbreaks’, for more information regarding contacts.

7. **Point Prevalence Screening**

A point prevalence screen is the collection of specimens on all patients/residents in a specified area at a single point in time, to determine the total number of cases of a particular microorganism and to identify evidence of ongoing transmission.

- Point prevalence screens should be conducted on units/areas where clients/patients/residents are at high risk for acquiring VRE during their stay in the health care setting.\(^{13}\)
Clients/patients/residents at high risk include those on dialysis units or other high-risk units such as intensive care units, transplantation units, or other units as defined by the ICP(s).

Point prevalence screens should be conducted in any area where VRE transmission is occurring and should continue to be conducted until no further transmission is detected; in general, this means at least two prevalence screens taken at least one week apart after the last transmission was detected.

See Appendix D, ‘Sample Investigation Protocols for MRSA and VRE’, for guidance in conducting prevalence screens.


8. Screening Staff for VRE

The risk of staff colonization with VRE is extremely low and there is no evidence to support the need to screen staff for VRE.

9. Collection and Timing of Specimens for VRE

Detection of VRE is best with stool specimens, as they provide a higher yield than rectal swabs. In the absence of stool, rectal swabs may be used. If a client/patient/resident has a colostomy, the VRE specimen may be taken from the colostomy output.

See Appendix A, ‘Collecting Specimens for MRSA, VRE, CPE and ESBL’, for instruction in obtaining specimens for VRE.

Specimens may be falsely negative if the patient is on an antibiotic to which the microorganism is sensitive. Surveillance specimens should be taken only after the antibiotic has been discontinued for at least 48 hours.

Molecular testing methods, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), may have certain advantages:

- improved turnaround time for results, particularly for VRE
- increased sensitivity, i.e., detection of lower levels of colonization

False-positive results may occur with both VRE culture and PCR testing, due to:

- laboratory contamination
- presence of nonviable VRE
- presence of vanB in nonenterococcal microorganisms

10. VRE Decolonization

VRE decolonization is not effective and not recommended.
C. Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL)-Producing Bacteria

1. What are ESBLs?

Beta-lactamase (β-lactamase) is an enzyme produced by some bacteria that inactivates the β-lactam class of antibiotics (e.g., penicillins, cephalosporins). Extended-spectrum β-lactamase acts on all cephalosporins, including third-generation cephalosporins such as cefotaxime, ceftriaxone and ceftazidime, as well as the monobactam aztreonam.

Most extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production occurs in the Enterobacteriaceae Escherichia coli (E. coli) and Klebsiella pneumoniae. The significance of ESBL-production in bacteria that are a common cause of urinary tract infections and bacteraemia is that antibiotic treatment options are limited for these infections.

2. Current Status of ESBL-Producing Bacteria in Ontario

While third-generation cephalosporin-resistant isolates have been reported from all areas of the province, resistance is most prevalent in Toronto and surrounding areas. In 2011, 40% of hospitals carried out a regular screening program for ESBLs. The most common protocol is to screen roommate(s) of colonized and/or infected patients/residents once a case is identified and screening patients with a history of admission in another country.

3. Acquisition and Transmission of ESBL-Producing Bacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK FACTORS FOR ESBL ACQUISITION:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Probable Risk Factor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged/extensive treatment with third-generation cephalosporins or fluoroquinolones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged hospital/ICU stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of clinical status (e.g., receiving TPN, neutropenia, neonate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant recipients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indwelling catheters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible Risk Factor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal replacement therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risk factors for infection and colonization with ESBL-producing Klebsiella spp. and E. coli include prolonged and extensive treatment with third-generation cephalosporins or fluoroquinolones; increased hospital stay, particularly in an intensive care unit (ICU); severity of illness, particularly neutropenia, transplant recipients, those receiving total parenteral nutrition (TPN) and neonates; the presence of indwelling catheters, especially urinary and arterial/central venous catheters; and mechanical ventilation. Renal replacement therapy has also been shown to be a risk factor for ESBL acquisition.
The incidence of ESBL acquisition in the community is increasing, with one-third of cases having reported no association with health care.\textsuperscript{167, 168} Recent evidence also suggests that the risk of ESBL transmission in households is high.\textsuperscript{169}

The lower digestive tract of colonized patients is the main reservoir for ESBL-producing bacteria. Gastrointestinal carriage can persist for months.\textsuperscript{53} It has been suggested that factors that facilitate cross-infection among patients have the most relevant role in the acquisition of ESBL-producing bacteria.\textsuperscript{166} Patient-to-patient transmission of ESBL-producing bacteria occurs primarily via the hands of staff.\textsuperscript{53, 170} The appropriate use of Routine Practices and Additional Precautions, along with antimicrobial stewardship, have been shown to halt the spread of ESBL-producing bacteria in an outbreak.\textsuperscript{55, 171}

ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria can survive in the health care environment,\textsuperscript{172} but the environment has rarely been implicated in outbreaks and the role of environmental surface contamination as a source of hospital infection is controversial.\textsuperscript{173} In one study, however, the implementation of sink and faucet scouring in a neonatal intensive care unit did halt an outbreak of ESBL-producing \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}.\textsuperscript{163}

4. **Screening Patients/Residents for ESBL-Producing Bacteria**

Local epidemiology should govern decision-making regarding routine screening of patients/residents for ESBL-producing bacteria. If the local prevalence of ESBL-producing bacteria is high, there is some value to routinely screening patients, particularly those admitted to ICUs.\textsuperscript{171, 174, 175}

An effective and consistent approach to surveillance is an important measure to prevent and control the spread of ESBLs. In an ESBL outbreak, protocols should be in place for screening patients in close proximity to colonized/infected patients (e.g., roommates) who may have been exposed or who have risk factors for ESBL acquisition.\textsuperscript{51, 173}

Patients with known ESBL carriage should have their records flagged and be placed on Contact Precautions and re-screened on readmission.\textsuperscript{173}

5. **Screening Staff for ESBL-Producing Bacteria**

Routine screening of staff for ESBL is not recommended. Although staff with Gram-negative bacterial hand colonization (e.g., staff with artificial nails) have been implicated in infections and outbreaks, there is no evidence that rectal colonization of health care providers contributes to transmission.

6. **Collection and Timing of Specimens for ESBL-Producing Bacteria**

A substantial percentage of patients/residents who develop health care-associated ESBL infections have preceding colonization of the gastrointestinal tract.\textsuperscript{175-177} The preferred specimen for ESBL screening is a rectal swab or stool. A urine culture may also be sent in certain situations (e.g., catheterized patient/resident). In one study, the inguinal area was found to be colonized with ESBL-producing bacteria when the perianal area and urine were negative.\textsuperscript{178}

7. **ESBL Decolonization**

ESBL decolonization is generally not effective and not recommended.\textsuperscript{173} Although there is a small study of 15 patients that shows efficacy of decolonization at follow-up,\textsuperscript{179} there is not enough evidence to recommend this.
D. Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE)

1. What are CPE?

Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae are Enterobacteriaceae that are resistant to carbapenem antimicrobials (e.g., imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem) through the production of carbapenemase enzymes. To date, carbapenemases have been found most commonly in E. coli and Klebsiella spp., but have also been found in other Gram-negative species.

Carbapenemases are a class of enzymes that inactivate carbapenem antibiotics by hydrolysing them. In almost all instances, these enzymes hydrolyse not only carbapenems, but also first-, second- and third-generation cephalosporins and penicillins (e.g., piperacillin-tazobactam). The genetic information to produce carbapenemases is often located on a mobile genetic element (i.e., a genetic element that can move between bacterial strains and species, e.g., plasmid, transposon), which frequently also carries resistance to other classes of antimicrobials, such as fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides.

There are several different classes of carbapenemase. Each class has a three-letter acronym. These enzymes evolve rarely, but bacteria carrying them spread easily. Particular classes of carbapenemases are usually most common in the geographic area where they evolved, but spread around the world, usually when patients have received health care in another country. Enzymes other than NDM have almost exclusively been found in hospitals. NDM has been found in both hospitals and the community, particularly in the Indian subcontinent. Table 1 describes the most common classes of carbapenemases.

### TABLE 1: MOST COMMON CARBAPENEMASES: DISTRIBUTION AND MOLECULAR EPIDEMIOLOGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Geographic distribution</th>
<th>Molecular epidemiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPC</td>
<td>First reported in North Carolina in 1999. Now prevalent in hospitals on the U.S. Eastern seaboard, Israel and Greece, but has been reported from many hospitals around the world, including several Ontario hospitals. Transmission has occurred in at least one Ontario hospital.</td>
<td>Mostly in Klebsiella pneumoniae, although plasmid spread has occurred to E. coli and other Enterobacteriaceae. Both clonal and plasmid outbreaks have been described.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>Widespread in Enterobacteriaceae in hospitals in the Indian subcontinent and also appears to be spreading in the community. Imported cases from the Indian subcontinent to hospitals in many countries around the world have been reported. Cases have been identified in several Ontario hospitals, including cases apparently acquired in Ontario.</td>
<td>Plasmid spread among strains and species is very common, but clonal outbreaks also occur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIM</td>
<td>Scattered globally, with increased prevalence in Greece.</td>
<td>Plasmid spread is most common. Outbreaks have been described not only in Enterobacteriaceae, but also Pseudomonas aeruginosa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:**
- KPC, Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase
- NDM, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase
- VIM, Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase

[Adapted from HPA Advice on Carbapenemase Producers, available at: http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb_C/1294740725984]
Testing bacteria for the presence of carbapenemases is challenging. Current routine testing may fail to detect resistance. Laboratories are working on better methods to ensure that these enzymes are reliably detected and reported. The information below may assist in the interpretation of results.

E. coli and Klebsiella spp. that are resistant to carbapenems on routine microbiology laboratory testing should be assumed to be carbapenemase producers until proven otherwise. Some isolates that initially appear to be susceptible to carbapenems may also produce carbapenemases, so that laboratories may need to issue corrected reports after further testing.

Not all resistance to carbapenems is conferred by carbapenemase production. Some Gram-negative bacteria may be resistant to carbapenems by other means. However, if resistance to carbapenems is detected, they should be tested to determine if they produce carbapenemases. These bacteria include:

- Proteae (Proteus spp. and Providencia spp.)
- Enterobacter spp.
- Acinetobacter spp.
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Because CPE are resistant to all penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems and other classes of antimicrobials, treatment of infections with CPE is difficult and involves the use of antibiotics with poor adverse event profiles (e.g., colistin). The case fatality rate for serious infections may be as high as 50%.

2. Current Status of CPE in Ontario

Most patients with CPE have had links to hospitals with recognized epidemic or endemic CPE (e.g., New York City hospitals with KPC K. pneumoniae, receipt of health care in the Indian subcontinent). However, transmission of CPE has been reported in Ontario, including two small outbreaks. In 2011, 43 patients were identified with CPE, predominately in central Ontario and metropolitan Toronto. In the first three quarters of 2012, 47 patients were identified with newly confirmed CPE.

3. Acquisition and Transmission of CPE

Although data are sparse, it seems likely that risk factors for infection and colonization with CPE will be similar to those of other resistant Gram-negative bacteria, such as ESBL-producing E. coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae.

At the present time the major risk factor appears to be receipt of care in health care settings that have CPE, e.g., hospitals along the U.S. eastern seaboard, particularly New York City (KPC), Greece (KPC), Israel (KPC) and the Indian subcontinent (NDM-1). However, CPE outbreaks are being increasingly described in hospitals around the world, including Canada. People coming from the Indian subcontinent, with or without exposure to health care, are also at risk.

Transmission is likely via direct and indirect contact. The site of colonization is the lower gastrointestinal tract. Although the environment has rarely been implicated in outbreaks, sinks and other environmental surfaces have more recently been implicated in the transmission of Klebsiella and Pseudomonas spp.

Acquisition of resistance may also occur by transmission of the mobile genetic element carrying the carbapenemase between different bacterial strains and species.
4. Screening Patients/Residents for CPE

All infection prevention and control programs should review with their microbiology laboratories whether they have had any cases of CPE in the past six months to one year and determine if their laboratory is able to detect and report all patients colonized or infected with CPE. Isolation of CPE should be considered a critical laboratory result.

An effective and consistent approach to surveillance is an important measure to prevent and control the spread of CPE. All health care facilities should institute a screening program and targeted surveillance for CPE. In particular, admission screening and pre-emptive Contact Precautions are indicated for individuals with risk factors for CPE. Patients who have received health care outside of the country or who are known contacts of CPE should be screened.

If a single patient/resident with CPE is identified, a full unit/ward prevalence screen should be conducted. If screening of the full unit/ward is not feasible, due to size, screening of patients/residents in close proximity to the identified patient/resident, e.g., area with shared staffing assignments, should be strongly considered.

As a minimum, roommates should be screened for CPE. If there is evidence of transmission of a single species (i.e., two or more patients with the same CPE strain), or two or more CPE-positive patients carrying two different bacterial species (i.e., suspected plasmid transmission), outbreak measures should be put into place and expert advice should quickly be sought (e.g., academic health sciences centre, medical microbiologist, reference laboratory services, local public health unit, regional infection control networks) to assist with determination of an outbreak.

In a CPE outbreak, there should be a full unit/ward prevalence screen. Periodic prevalence screening, e.g., weekly, should continue until no new cases are identified, with at least three negative prevalence screens after the last new case. Patients/residents with CPE and their roommates should be placed on Contact Precautions. The unit/ward should be closed to admissions and transfers out, unless medically necessary. Absolute cohorting of patients/residents, staff and equipment is essential to preventing further transmission of CPE.

Patients/residents who have been transferred from the unit/ward should be screened and placed on Contact Precautions pending screening results. For patients/residents who have been transferred to another facility, the facility should be informed and the patient/resident should be screened.

Patients with known CPE carriage should have their records flagged, should be placed on Contact Precautions and should be re-screened on readmission.

The isolation of CPE should be considered to be a critical laboratory result.

5. Screening Staff for CPE

Routine screening of staff for CPE is not recommended. There is no evidence that rectal colonization of health care providers contributes to transmission.

6. Screening Specimens for CPE

Primary screening specimens for CPE are stool or rectal swabs. Urine specimens and swabs from open wounds may also be indicated. In critical care settings, sputum or endotracheal tube specimens and swabs from exit sites may be indicated.
7. **CPE Decolonization**

There are insufficient data to support routine CPE decolonization and it is not recommended. In an uncontrolled outbreak, decolonization may be considered to attempt to reduce the bioburden.

**Recommendations**

**NOTE:** For these recommendations, AROs should be interpreted to include MRSA, VRE and CPE and may include other resistant bacteria of importance to the facility, e.g., ESBL.

13. *Each health care setting should have a prevention and control program for AROs.* [AII]

14. *Clients/patients/residents should receive health care based on their overall care needs, despite colonization with AROs.* [BII]

15. *Screening for risk factors for MRSA, VRE and CPE should include a screening tool that is applied to all clients/patients/residents admitted to the health care facility.* [AII]

16. *Regulated health professionals in health care facilities are expected to take screening specimens from clients/patients/residents at increased risk for AROs on admission as part of an ARO prevention and control program.* [AII]

17. *Every effort should be made to try to determine the source of new cases of MRSA, VRE and CPE. Every new case should warrant an investigation.* [AIII]

18. *All affected health care settings should be notified following the identification of a case of an ARO, or identification of a new contact of a case.* [AIII]

19. *Any client/patient/resident who is considered to be an MRSA, VRE or CPE contact should have at least one set of screening specimens taken. If initial specimens are negative, it is prudent to repeat them.* [BIII]

20. *During an outbreak, all client/patient/resident contacts with common risk factors should be actively screened.* [BIII]

21. *Consideration should be given to conducting point prevalence screens on units/areas where clients/patients/residents are at high risk for acquiring MRSA, VRE or CPE during their stay in the health care setting.* [BIII]

22. *Point prevalence screens should be conducted in any area where MRSA, VRE or CPE transmission is occurring and should continue to be conducted until no further transmission is detected.* [BIII]

23. *Screening staff for MRSA should be considered when an outbreak of the same strain of MRSA continues to spread despite adherence to control measures, or when an individual is strongly epidemiologically linked to new acquisitions of MRSA.* [BII]

24. *Specimens for detection of MRSA should include:* [AII]
   a. A swab from the anterior nares; AND
   b. A swab from the perianal, perineal or groin area (perianal preferred); AND
   c. A swab(s) from skin lesions, wounds, incisions, ulcers and exit sites of indwelling devices, if present, using aseptic technique where indicated.
   d. For newborn infants, a swab from the umbilicus should also be taken for MRSA.

25. *Specimens for detection of VRE should include stool or a rectal swab. Stool specimens provide a higher yield.* [AII]
26. Specimens for detection of CPE should include stool or a rectal swab. [AIII]

27. Routine decolonization therapy of MRSA clients/patients/residents is not currently recommended. [AIII]

28. VRE, CPE or ESBL decolonization is not effective and is not recommended. [AI]

29. In situations where a client/patient/resident colonized with MRSA is implicated in an outbreak, decolonization may be considered in consultation with the health care setting’s Infection Prevention and Control Professional. [BIII]

30. Decolonization of staff colonized with MRSA should be done when they are epidemiologically linked to an outbreak with the same strain and adherence to Contact Precautions has failed to contain the outbreak. [AII]

31. The health care setting’s Infection Prevention and Control Professional(s) and senior management must be notified whenever CPE, VISA or VRSA is identified. [AIII]

32. Expert advice should be sought whenever CPE, VISA or VRSA is isolated (e.g., infection prevention and control experts from academic health sciences centres, public health, the regional infection control networks and reference laboratory services). [AIII]

33. In addition to Routine Practices and all of the previous recommendations, Additional Precautions for CPE, VISA and VRSA must include: [AII]

- Single room accommodation is required.
- Dedicated equipment and supplies are required.
- Minimize the number of persons who enter the room.
- Patient must remain in their room except for essential procedures.
- Transfer between facilities should only be done if medically necessary. The receiving health care setting must be advised of the required precautions.
- Avoid transfer within the facility if possible; if transfer is necessary for medical reasons, the receiving unit or department must be advised of the required precautions.
- Each patient contact must be placed on Contact Precautions and be screened.

34. Every attempt should be made to identify the source of VISA or VRSA. [AII]

35. Health care settings should assess their local ESBL epidemiology to determine whether a specific ESBL control program is warranted. [CIII]
Interventions for the Prevention and Control of Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms

TABLE 2: INTERVENTIONS TO DETECT, MANAGE AND CONTROL ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT ORGANISMS (E.G., MRSA, VRE, CPE, ESBL-PRODUCING BACTERIA) IN ALL HEALTH CARE FACILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
<th>VRE</th>
<th>CPE</th>
<th>ESBL$^{55, 173}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 hours in any health care facility in past 12 months</td>
<td>Previously colonized or infected with VRE</td>
<td>Previously colonized or infected with CPE</td>
<td>Previously colonized or infected with ESBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health care in another country</td>
<td>Exposure to a unit/area with a VRE outbreak</td>
<td>Receipt of care in a hospital on the U.S. eastern seaboard region (e.g., New York City) in the past 12 months</td>
<td>Antibiotic treatment, especially β-lactams or fluoroquinolones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Risk Factors</td>
<td>Previously colonized or infected with MRSA</td>
<td>Exposure to a unit/area with an MRSA outbreak</td>
<td>ICU stay/prolonged hospital stay</td>
<td>ICU stay/prolonged hospital stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposure to a unit/area with a MRSA outbreak</td>
<td>Indwelling device present</td>
<td>Indwelling catheters</td>
<td>Indwelling catheters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICU, burn or transplant unit</td>
<td>Communal setting</td>
<td>Increased severity of illness (e.g., TPN recipient, neutopenia, neonate)</td>
<td>Increased severity of illness (e.g., TPN recipient, neutopenia, neonate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injection drug use</td>
<td>Immunocompromised</td>
<td>Transplant recipient</td>
<td>Transplant recipient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communal setting</td>
<td>Household contact of patient with MRSA</td>
<td>Patients exposed to a facility with an ESBL outbreak</td>
<td>Patients exposed to a facility with an ESBL outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injection drug use</td>
<td>CA-MRSA risk (e.g., sports team)</td>
<td>Household contact of patient with MRSA</td>
<td>Household contact of patient with ESBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunocompromised</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA-MRSA risk (e.g., sports team)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household contact of patient with MRSA</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA-MRSA risk (e.g., sports team)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Interventions listed in this table are in addition to Routine Practices.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
<th>VRE</th>
<th>CPE</th>
<th>ESBL[^1] [^2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on admission</td>
<td>Based on patient risk factors</td>
<td>Based on patient risk factors</td>
<td>Based on patient risk factors</td>
<td>Based on facility’s ESBL program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colonized/infected patients</td>
<td>If treated for infection, after antibiotics have been discontinued</td>
<td>Ideally, no re-screening</td>
<td>No re-screening for current admission to acute care hospital</td>
<td>No re-screening unless risk factors change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If decolonized, 3 sets of cultures taken at least 1 week apart</td>
<td>For discontinuation of AP, begin re-screening no sooner than 3 months after last positive and take 3 cultures at least one week apart, for 3 consecutive negative cultures</td>
<td>Duration of colonization may be prolonged. There is insufficient evidence to recommend frequency of re-screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If decolonized and AP discontinued, screen weekly for duration of admission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In long-term care, re-screen no more frequently than every 3 months; if AP have been discontinued, re-screen monthly for 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contacts of cases</td>
<td>2 sets of specimens taken on different days, with one taken a minimum 7 days after last exposure</td>
<td>Re-screen if ongoing transmission of MRSA/VRE</td>
<td>minimum 3 sets of specimens taken on different days, with at least one taken 21 days after last exposure</td>
<td>Based on facility’s ESBL program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point prevalence</td>
<td>Units/areas where there is a high risk for MRSA/VRE acquisition (e.g., burn units, intensive care units, transplant units)</td>
<td>following identification of a single new case of CPE on a unit/ward</td>
<td>Followed by facility’s ESBL program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AND/OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any area where there is ongoing transmission of MRSA/VRE (e.g., outbreak)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Interventions listed in this table are in addition to Routine Practices.
### NOTE: Interventions listed in this table are in addition to Routine Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
<th>VRE</th>
<th>CPE</th>
<th>ESBL&lt;sup&gt;55, 173&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Screening, con’t.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outbreak</td>
<td>▪ All contacts including roommates and others in close geographic proximity to source patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Weekly prevalence screening until no further transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>staff</td>
<td>▪ If there is ongoing transmission of a single strain OR</td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ No</td>
<td>▪ No, unless individual is epidemiologically linked to new acquisitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Individual is epidemiologically linked to new acquisitions of MRSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specimens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Anterior nares AND Perianal, perineal or groin swab AND Lesions/wounds, incisions, ulcers, exit sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Stool OR ▪ Rectal swab AND, if indicated ▪ Urine ▪ Wounds ▪ Endotracheal suction (critical care) ▪ Exit sites (critical care)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flagging</strong></td>
<td>▪ Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Based on facility’s ESBL program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accommodation</strong></td>
<td>▪ Single room preferred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Single room with own toileting facilities (toilet or commode)</td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Single room with own toileting facilities essential (toilet or commode)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Single room with own toileting facilities (toilet or commode)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NOTE: Interventions listed in this table are in addition to Routine Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
<th>VRE</th>
<th>CPE</th>
<th>ESBL&lt;sup&gt;55, 173&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initiation of Contact Precautions</strong></td>
<td>▪ Receipt of positive culture result</td>
<td>▪ Receipt of positive culture result</td>
<td>▪ Receipt of positive culture result</td>
<td>▪ Based on facility’s ESBL program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>▪ Admission of flagged MRSA positive patient (internal flag or communication from other health care setting)</td>
<td>▪ Admission of flagged VRE positive patient (internal flag or communication from other health care setting)</td>
<td>▪ Admission of flagged CPE positive patient (internal flag or communication from other health care setting)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>▪ High risk individual, pending culture results</td>
<td>▪ High risk individual, pending culture results</td>
<td>▪ High risk individual, pending culture results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Cleaning</strong></td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>▪ Use fresh supplies and equipment</td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>▪ Pay particular attention to sink cleaning and disinfection&lt;sup&gt;194&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Use fresh supplies and equipment</td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Routine cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>▪ Consider double cleaning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Consider double cleaning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Double cleaning in an outbreak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>VRE</td>
<td>CPE</td>
<td>ESBL&lt;sup&gt;55, 173&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discharge/ Transfer</strong></td>
<td>- Routine discharge/transfer cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>- Use fresh supplies and equipment</td>
<td>- Routine discharge/transfer cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>Routine discharge/transfer cleaning and disinfection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>- Routine discharge/transfer cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Discard supplies remaining in room</td>
<td>- Routine discharge/transfer cleaning and disinfection</td>
<td>- Discard supplies remaining in room</td>
<td>Discard supplies remaining in room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Remove and launder privacy and shower curtains</td>
<td>- Discard toilet brush/swab</td>
<td>- Remove and launder privacy and shower curtains</td>
<td>Remove and launder privacy and shower curtains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Remove and launder privacy and shower curtains</td>
<td>- Discard toilet brush/swab</td>
<td>Discard toilet brush/swab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discontinuation of Contact Precautions</strong></td>
<td>- 3 negative cultures taken at least one week apart if decolonization has been successful</td>
<td>- Minimum 3 successive negative cultures with at least one culture taken three months after the last positive culture</td>
<td>- Contact Precautions for duration of acute care hospitalization</td>
<td>- If Contact Precautions are initiated based on facility’s ESBL program, continue precautions for duration of acute care hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- In LTC, 3 negative cultures taken at least one week apart</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Only discontinue after consultation with infection prevention and control</td>
<td>For non-acute care settings, negative results from all colonized/infected body sites (e.g., 3 consecutive negative cultures taken at least one week apart) in the absence of antibiotic therapy&lt;sup&gt;165, 173&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NOTE: Interventions listed in this table are in addition to Routine Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
<th>VRE</th>
<th>CPE</th>
<th>ESBL&lt;sup&gt;55, 173&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decolonization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only individuals implicated in an outbreak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only if colonized/infected with outbreak strain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. How Are Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms Spread?

Table 2 summarizes the infection prevention and control management of clients/patients/residents with AROs.

The single most important mode of transmission of antibiotic-resistant microorganisms in a health care setting is via transiently colonized hands of health care workers, who acquire it from contact with colonized or infected clients/patients/residents, or after handling contaminated material or equipment. The unrecognized colonized client/patient/resident presents a particular risk for transmission to other clients/patients/residents.

New cases of MRSA, VRE or CPE require investigation to attempt to determine their source (e.g., present at entry, acquired in-house).

➢ For more information, see algorithms in Appendix D, ‘Sample Investigation Protocol for MRSA and VRE in Acute Care Facilities.’

B. Initiation of Contact Precautions for Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms

Each health care setting should have policies in place that identify clients/patients/residents who are at the highest risk for colonization with MRSA, VRE or CPE so that they may be placed on Contact Precautions until the results of screening tests are available. Based on local epidemiology, a program for ESBL-producing bacteria surveillance may be implemented.

Decisions about the initiation of Contact Precautions need to be based on the speed with which information about colonization/infection can be obtained (e.g., laboratory turnaround time), the likelihood of transmission (based, for instance, on the patient risk factors and the amount of transmission that has occurred on the particular unit in the past) and the risk of illness in adjacent patients if transmission should occur (e.g., bone marrow transplant patients are at higher risk than elective short stay surgical patients).

The value of Contact Precautions in reducing transmission of ESBL-producing bacteria is unclear. In one study involving bone marrow/solid organ transplants over a three-year period, where Contact Precautions were used for confirmed ESBL cases, the incidence of ESBL-producing bacteria remained stable over time, with only a few new transmissions occurring. Considering the large population of immunocompromised patients in the study, this was felt to be good evidence to support the continued use of Contact Precautions for patients who are colonized or infected with ESBL-producing bacteria.

Contact Precautions may be instituted before screening results are available for patients believed to be at particularly high risk of being colonized or infected with AROs. Examples of highest risk clients/patients/residents include:

- those who have previously been colonized or infected with an ARO
- those with a recent (within 12 months) history of hospitalization in another country (MRSA, VRE, CPE)
- roommates of patients/residents newly identified as being colonized/infected with an ARO
- other exposed patients/residents (e.g., on a ward/unit with an outbreak of an ARO)
- patients with skin and/or soft tissue infections in areas where the prevalence of CA-MRSA is high or increasing
- household contacts of persons known to be colonized or infected with MRSA or ESBL.
The number of colonized patients/residents in a health care setting (‘colonization pressure’) will also influence the likelihood of acquiring AROs. The risks of transmitting AROs must be balanced against the negative effects of placing such patients/residents on Contact Precautions.

- Refer to Routine Practices and Additional Precautions in All Health Care Settings, Section II, ‘Impact of Additional Precautions on Quality of Care’ for more information.

The use of a surgical mask for contact with patients colonized/infect ed with MRSA is controversial. There is evidence from one study that staff colonization rates of MRSA are lower in staff wearing masks than in those who do not wear masks, due to the avoidance of hand-to-nose contact. In acute care settings, consideration may be given to using a surgical mask for contact with patients with MRSA, to prevent staff colonization.

C. Duration of Contact Precautions

There is little information on the subject of when a client/patient/resident is considered to be at low risk for transmission of MRSA or VRE. Most guidelines recommend a minimum of three sets of negative specimens taken at least one week apart for MRSA and three sets of negative specimens taken over a period of three months for VRE, before considering an individual to be cleared. It must be recognized that re-colonization can occur at any time.

- See Section IV for guidance regarding screening for MRSA, VRE, CPE and ESBL-producing bacteria.

1. MRSA

If an individual has undergone decolonization therapy for MRSA, this may affect the duration of Contact Precautions. In the event that three sets of specimens for MRSA have been taken at least one week apart and have been found to be negative, the ICP (or their delegate) may discontinue Contact Precautions. When decolonization is not attempted, the majority of people remain colonized with MRSA for weeks to months, and should remain on Contact Precautions.

In acute care, the following general guidelines apply to duration of Contact Precautions for MRSA:

- If MRSA infection is treated with an antimicrobial to which the MRSA is sensitive, follow-up specimens should not be obtained until at least 48 hours after discontinuation of therapy and prior to discontinuation of Contact Precautions.
- If decolonization of MRSA has been attempted, the patient may be considered to be at low risk for transmission of MRSA if there have been three sets of negative specimens taken at least one week apart.
- If decolonization of MRSA is not attempted, no further specimens should be taken during the current admission.
- If Contact Precautions have been discontinued after decolonization, weekly screening for the duration of hospitalization is recommended following clearing of MRSA, since re-colonization can occur.

In settings other than acute care:

- In community care, re-screening is not required and should only be done on admission to a hospital or long-term care home.
- In long-term care:
  - If the resident has been colonized for more than one month, follow-up screening should be done no more frequently than every three months.
  - If Contact Precautions have been discontinued, monthly screening for six months is recommended following eradication of MRSA, since re-colonization can occur.
2. **VRE**

Bowel colonization with VRE may persist for long periods. Residents of long-term care homes with VRE in the stool may continue to shed VRE for weeks to months. Consequently, long-term care homes should not expect patients from acute care hospitals to have negative cultures for VRE before being accepted for admission.

**In acute care:**

- Patients with VRE should be considered to be colonized for the duration of admission.
- Contact Precautions may be discontinued if there have been a minimum of three successive negative cultures with at least one culture taken three months after the last positive culture.

**In long-term care:**

- Follow-up cultures for VRE should be done no more frequently than once every three months.
- If a negative culture has been obtained, Contact Precautions may be discontinued when three successive negative cultures taken at least one week apart have been obtained.

3. **ESBL-producing Bacteria**

It is not known how long bowel colonization with ESBL-producing bacteria persists. Endemic strains may persist in the health care setting for years. In a German study, some patients remained culture-positive during the entire three-year study period. Most colonized patients/residents are asymptomatic.

Bowel colonization may play a critical role in facilitating spread. Spread appears to occur mainly through transmission via health care providers’ hands and is associated with the use of invasive medical devices such as indwelling catheters and mechanical ventilation. It has been suggested that ESBL- Klebsiella pneumoniae strains possess a greater capacity to adhere to intravascular devices.

A recent Canadian study showed only a marginal increase in new cases of ESBL-producing bacteria over a six-year period when all patients with an ESBL-producing microorganism identified from a clinical specimen were placed in a private room for the duration of their hospital stay, despite a regional increase in ESBL and increase in the number of admissions with ESBL. Patients who had specific risk factors for ESBL transmission (ICU admission, uncontained drainage from a culture-positive site, diarrhoea, incontinence of urine) were placed on Contact Precautions. The suggestion made was that infection control measures had an impact on nosocomial transmission of ESBL-producing bacteria.

In most studies that have evaluated infection control practices for patients with ESBL-producing bacteria, the recommendation is that Contact Precautions must be continued until discharge from acute care.

4. **Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE)**

It is not known how long bowel colonization with CPE persists, but it is likely that it is of long duration. Most colonized patients/residents are asymptomatic. The implications of CPE infection and transmission are such that one should be cautious about deciding to remove Contact Precautions. Current expert recommendations suggest that patients should remain on Contact Precautions for the duration of hospitalization and should be presumed to be colonized and managed on Contact Precautions if they are readmitted within the next year.
Recommendations

36. Each health care setting should have policies in place that identify clients/patients/residents who are at the highest risk for colonization with MRSA, VRE or CPE, so that they may be placed on Contact Precautions until the results of screening tests are available. [CIII]

37. If MRSA infection is treated with an antimicrobial to which the MRSA is sensitive, follow-up specimens should not be obtained until at least 48 hours after discontinuation of therapy and prior to discontinuation of Contact Precautions. [BIII]

38. Re-colonization with MRSA may occur once a client/patient/resident has been discharged from the health care system and screening specimens should be collected on each readmission. [AII]

39. When a patient has been placed on Contact Precautions for CPE or ESBL, precautions should remain in place for the duration of acute care hospitalization. [CIII]
Managing Outbreaks

An outbreak occurs when there is an increase in the rate of new cases of a particular microorganism (infected and/or colonized) over the background rate, or a clustering of new cases due to the transmission of a specific microbial strain(s) in a health care setting. Clustering is the occurrence of two or more cases closely related by time, location, or other epidemiologic linkages.  

In a health care setting with no previous MRSA, VRE or CPE, one case would warrant an investigation. For centres where MRSA and VRE are endemic, it is important to regularly monitor background rates to determine whether an outbreak has occurred. During an outbreak with an ARO, all client/patient/resident contacts with common risk factors should be actively screened.

Each health care setting should have in place a policy regarding outbreak management, including an outbreak with an ARO. This will include the formation of a multidisciplinary committee and a review and audit of infection prevention and control policies and practices. In a CPE outbreak, absolute cohorting of patients, staff and equipment must be maintained to control CPE.

See ‘Management of an Outbreak of Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms (AROs),’ for guidance regarding outbreak management.
Management of an Outbreak of Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms (AROs)

1. Place each patient on Additional Precautions as soon as possible after identification of ARO.
2. Form a multidisciplinary outbreak management team to review the situation and provide guidance and support. Members of the team should include representatives from the affected unit/ward.
3. Establish lines of communication:
   a. Communicate with the client/patient/resident and their family regarding the reason for Additional Precautions, while maintaining client/patient/resident confidentiality.
   b. If clients/patients/residents from the affected floor/unit require transfer, notify the receiving health care setting or department that the client/patient/resident is coming from an outbreak floor/unit and that Additional Precautions are required until the client/patient/resident is deemed to be cleared of the ARO.
   c. Maintain communication with local experts and networks. Health care settings that do not have the expertise or resources to deal with an outbreak of an ARO may consider requesting assistance from the local Public Health Unit, the Regional Infection Control Network, or an academic Health Sciences Centre.
   d. Communicate daily with facility leadership and staff regarding the progress of the outbreak.
4. Identify contacts of each new case of the ARO:
   a. Take surveillance specimens from all clients/patients/residents that are contacts (i.e. roommates) of the source client/patient/resident as well as others who were in close geographic proximity to the source client/patient/resident.
   b. For MRSA, consider screening staff contacts if the outbreak is due to the same strain of MRSA and new cases are identified despite precautions.
   c. Place a flag (e.g. electronic notification, chart sticker) on the electronic/paper chart of any client/patient/resident that is considered to be a contact of ARO cases but who has subsequently been discharged, to enable screening on readmission.
5. Initiate prevalence screening/surveillance:
   a. Consider conducting a prevalence screen/surveillance on the affected floor/unit if additional cases are found after doing contact tracing, particularly if these cases have the same strain as the source client/patient/resident.
   b. Continue prevalence screening on a regular basis (e.g. weekly) until at least two consecutive screens are negative. A single negative result may not be adequate to determine that no further transmission has taken place.
6. Implement staff education:
   a. Conduct in-service education on the affected floor/unit and other departments as necessary.
   b. If the outbreak affects multiple areas of the facility, hospital-wide education may be required.
7. Review environmental cleaning and equipment cleaning practices as well as management and storage of supplies.
   a. Routine cleaning may not be adequate to remove VRE from contaminated surfaces.
   b. In situations with persistent VRE transmission, consideration may be given to post-cleaning environmental cultures to document that discharge cleaning of rooms is adequate.
   c. Review cleaning of shared equipment between patients/residents.
8. Review and audit infection prevention and control strategies and practices.
   For ESBL, review catheter care and urine management practices.
9. Attempt to identify a source for the outbreak:
   a. Conduct an investigation and review the client/patient/record to attempt to determine the source of the ARO (e.g., history of care in another health care setting, client/patient/resident contacts and recent transfer from high-risk units/floors).
   b. Send isolates for molecular typing (one isolate per case).
   c. Review laboratory results.
   d. If the source is the current health care setting, an active search should be initiated to detect additional cases and possible links between cases, such as equipment, procedures or common staff assignments.
   e. If the source is another health care setting, clients/patients/residents from the affected floor/unit require transfer, notify the receiving health care setting or other departments of the source client/patient/resident as well as others who were in close geographic proximity to the source client/patient/resident.
10. Cohorting of patients and staff:
    a. Initiate cohorting of patients.
    b. Consideration should be given to cohorting staff and equipment until the outbreak is resolved. For CPE, this is essential.
11. Consider closing a floor/unit to further admissions or transfers until the outbreak is resolved.
12. Ensure that the laboratory is saving isolates of the ARO (one isolate per case) in case further tests are required (e.g. molecular typing).
13. An outbreak of an ARO may be declared over by the multidisciplinary team when there is evidence that no additional cases are occurring and that all Additional Precautions are being followed. At least two prevalence screens should be conducted on the affected floor/unit, taken one week apart, to verify that there are no new cases.
14. Conduct a debriefing session following the outbreak to discuss how the outbreak was handled, what can be learned from the outbreak and how future outbreaks may be prevented. Feedback should be provided to all staff involved in the outbreak.
Summary of Recommendations for Screening, Testing and Surveillance for Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms In All Health Care Settings

This summary table is intended to assist with self-assessment internal to the health care setting for quality improvement purposes. See complete text for rationale.

NOTE: For these recommendations, AROs should be interpreted to include MRSA, VRE and CPE and may include other resistant bacteria of importance to the facility, e.g., ESBL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Non-compliant</th>
<th>Action Plan</th>
<th>Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Laboratories should recognize that turnaround time is a critical issue in the prevention of transmission of AROs. Infection Prevention and Control Professionals (ICPs) and their laboratories should have reporting systems that notify ICPs of suspected MRSA and VRE prior to final confirmation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>The laboratory should employ methodologies that allow for as rapid as possible turnaround time for screening specimens for AROs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Laboratories should save isolates of AROs (one isolate per patient) for a minimum of six months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>Partial Compliance</td>
<td>Non-compliant</td>
<td>Action Plan</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Whenever a single positive result is obtained from a specimen from a single site identifying a new ARO case, consideration should be given to confirming with a repeat specimen to rule out error.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Laboratory support during outbreak investigation should include the ability to obtain molecular typing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>A tracking system (preferably electronic) and database of flagged clients/patients/residents should be in place to help identify them on readmission.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>The Infection Prevention and Control Professional(s) of the healthcare setting should have the responsibility to determine flagging and unflagging of clients/patients/residents with AROs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>A flag (e.g., electronic notification, chart sticker) should be placed on the electronic/paper chart of any client/patient/resident <strong>who is colonized or infected</strong> with an ARO and the status noted for their specific ARO(s) in the medical record. Flags must protect the confidentiality of the client/patient/resident.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>A flag (e.g., electronic notification, chart sticker) should be placed on the electronic/paper chart of any client/patient/resident <strong>who is considered to be a contact</strong> of an ARO case, but who has subsequently been discharged, to enable screening on readmission. Flags must protect the confidentiality of the client/patient/resident.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Non-compliant</th>
<th>Action Plan</th>
<th>Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>In addition to establishing control programs for MRSA, VRE and CPE, infection prevention and control programs should assess whether other AROs of significance to their health care setting should be tracked and flagged (e.g., ESBL).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Policies and procedures should be implemented to promote judicious antibiotic use, in order to limit the increase and spread of AROs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Health care settings should institute formulary control of antibiotics and should conduct regular reviews of antibiotic use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT ORGANISMS IN HEALTH CARE SETTINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Non-compliant</th>
<th>Action Plan</th>
<th>Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Each health care setting should have a prevention and control program for AROs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Clients/patients/residents should receive health care based on their overall care needs, despite colonization with AROs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Screening for risk factors for MRSA, VRE and CPE should include a screening tool that is applied to all clients/patients/residents admitted to the health care facility.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Regulated health professionals in health care facilities are expected to take screening specimens from clients/patients/residents at increased risk for AROs on admission as part of an ARO prevention and control program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Every effort should be made to try to determine the source of new cases of MRSA, VRE or CPE. Every new case should warrant an investigation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>Partial Compliance</td>
<td>Non-compliant</td>
<td>Action Plan</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. All affected health care settings should be notified following the identification of a new case of an ARO, or identification of a new contact of a case.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Any client/patient/resident who is considered to be an MRSA, VRE or CPE contact should have at least one set of screening specimens taken. If initial specimens are negative, it is prudent to repeat them.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. During an outbreak, all client/patient/resident contacts with common risk factors should be actively screened.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Consideration should be given to conducting point prevalence screens on units/areas where clients/patients/residents are at high risk for acquiring MRSA, VRE or CPE during their stay in the health care setting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Point prevalence screens should be conducted in any area where MRSA, VRE or CPE transmission is occurring and should continue to be conducted until no further transmission is detected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Screening staff for MRSA should be considered when an outbreak of the same strain of MRSA continues to spread despite adherence to control measures, or when an individual is strongly epidemiologically linked to new acquisitions of MRSA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>Partial Compliance</td>
<td>Non-compliant</td>
<td>Action Plan</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Specimens for detection of MRSA should include: &lt;br&gt; a) A swab from the anterior nares; AND &lt;br&gt; b) A swab from the perianal, perineal or groin area (perianal preferred); AND &lt;br&gt; c) A swab(s) from skin lesions, wounds, incisions, ulcers and exit sites of indwelling devices, if present, using aseptic technique where indicated; &lt;br&gt; d) For newborn infants, a swab from the umbilicus should also be taken for MRSA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Specimens for detection of VRE should include stool or a rectal or perianal swab. Stool specimens provide a higher yield.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Specimens for detection of CPE should include stool or a rectal swab.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Routine decolonization therapy of MRSA clients/patients/residents is not currently recommended.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. VRE, CPE or ESBL decolonization is not effective and not recommended.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. In situations where a client/patient/resident colonized with MRSA is implicated in an outbreak, decolonization may be considered in consultation with the health care setting’s Infection Prevention and Control Professional.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>Partial Compliance</td>
<td>Non-compliant</td>
<td>Action Plan</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Decolonization of staff colonized with MRSA should be done when they are epidemiologically linked to an outbreak with the same strain and adherence to Contact Precautions has failed to contain the outbreak.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. The health care setting’s Infection Prevention and Control Professional(s) and senior management must be notified whenever CPE, VISA or VRSA is identified.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Expert advice should be sought whenever CPE, VISA or VRSA is isolated (e.g., infection prevention and control experts from academic health sciences centres, the regional infection control networks and reference laboratory services).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. In addition to Routine Practices and all of the previous recommendations for MRSA, Additional Precautions for CPE, VISA and VRSA include:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Single room accommodation is required.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Dedicated equipment and supplies are required.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Minimize the number of persons who enter the room.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Patient must remain in their room except for essential procedures.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Transfer between facilities should only be done if medically necessary. The receiving health care setting must be advised of the required precautions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Avoid transfer within the facility if possible; if transfer is necessary for medical reasons, the receiving unit or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>Partial Compliance</td>
<td>Non-compliant</td>
<td>Action Plan</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>department must be advised of the required precautions.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Each patient contact must be placed on Contact Precautions and be screened.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Every attempt should be made to identify the source of VISA or VRSA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Health care settings should assess their local ESBL epidemiology to determine whether a specific ESBL control program is warranted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERVENTIONS FOR THE PREVENTION AND CONTROL OF ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT ORGANISMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Non-compliant</th>
<th>Action Plan</th>
<th>Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36. Each health care setting should have policies in place that identify clients/patients/residents who are at the highest risk for colonization with MRSA, VRE or CPE, so that they may be placed on Contact Precautions until the results of screening tests are available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. If MRSA infection is treated with an antimicrobial to which the MRSA is sensitive, follow-up specimens should not be obtained until at least 48 hours after discontinuation of therapy and prior to discontinuation of Contact Precautions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Re-colonization with MRSA may occur once a client/patient/resident has been discharged from the health care system and screening specimens should be collected on each readmission.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. When a patient has been placed on Contact Precautions for CPE or ESBL, precautions should remain in place for the duration of acute care hospitalization.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendices

APPENDIX A: COLLECTING SPECIMENS FOR MRSA, VRE, CPE, ESBL

[Adapted from University Health Network, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre]

Check with your laboratory regarding appropriate specimens for detection of MRSA, VRE, CPE or ESBL

Note: Specimens may be falsely negative if the patient is on an antibiotic to which the microorganism is sensitive. MRSA may not show up on specimens taken from patients who have recently had an antimicrobial bath. Surveillance specimens should be taken once the antibiotic has been discontinued for 48 hours.

MRSA Screening Procedure for Cultures/Molecular Detection:

- Pre-moisten all swabs with sterile normal saline or with transport medium prior to taking a specimen.
- Swab anterior nares (use the same swab for both nostrils). Use a circular motion to touch as much mucous membrane as possible.
- Swab perianal/perineal skin or groin with a new swab.
- Swab wounds/skin lesions/incisions/ulcers if present with separate swabs.
- Swab exit sites of indwelling devices if present.
- For newborns, swab the umbilicus
- Label the individual specimens appropriately.

VRE/CPE/ESBL Screening Procedure for Cultures/Molecular Detection:

- Stool or a rectal swab may be used for VRE/ESBL/CPE screening. Stool specimens have a higher yield.
- Swab around the external rectal orifice. If visible stool is not obtained on the swab, insert it a few millimetres into the rectum until visible stool is obtained.
- If the client/patient/resident has a colostomy, take the specimen from the colostomy output.
- Label the individual specimens appropriately.
APPENDIX B: SAMPLE RISK FACTOR-BASED ADMISSION FORM FOR SCREENING FOR MRSA, VRE, ESBL AND CPE

[Adapted from Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic Resistant Organisms (ARO) Admission Screen (for all admitted patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary risk factors for Antibiotic Resistant Organisms (ARO):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Patient is known to be positive for an ARO, or was a contact of an ARO (includes patients flagged in electronic patient record for MRSA, VRE, ESBL or CPE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patient has received health care in another country within the last year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Patient is a direct transfer from a health care facility** outside of Canada</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the answer to all of the above questions is **NO**, continue with the following questions. If the answer is **YES** to any of these, collect specimens only for MRSA and VRE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Screening specimens required (select all that apply):</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Patient is a direct transfer from another health care facility**, including internal sites/your own facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patient has been admitted to a Canadian health care facility** within the last year, including internal sites/your own facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Patient receives home health care services or hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Patient lives in a communal setting (e.g. homeless shelter, halfway house, correctional facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Patient is unable to answer any of the above questions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Send specimens for MRSA from the following sites:
- Anterior nares (both nares with one swab)
- Perianal/perineal skin or groin
- Open wounds/lesions/incisions
- Exit sites of indwelling devices

Send a rectal swab (faecally stained) or stool specimen for VRE, ESBL, CPE (stool is preferred).
- For ESBL, a urine sample may be indicated.
- For CPE, other samples may be indicated: Urine, wound swabs, endotracheal suction (critical care), exit sites (critical care)

*High risk geographical areas currently include: U.S. eastern seaboard (e.g., New York city), Greece, Israel and Indian subcontinent (e.g., India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Pakistan)*

**Health care facility includes: hospital, long-term care home, retirement home or other health care facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Print Signature &amp; Sign (RN/RPN):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( ) Patient refused specimens. Notify the Infection Prevention &amp; Control Professional or delegate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C: SAMPLE FACT SHEETS FOR HEALTH CARE STAFF (MRSA, VRE, ESBL, CPE) AND SAMPLE INFORMATION SHEETS FOR PATIENTS AND VISITORS

Adapted from materials provided by:

Kingston General Hospital

The Ottawa Hospital

Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre
## Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA)

### Staff Fact Sheet

### What is MRSA?

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a bacterium that periodically lives on the skin and mucous membranes of healthy people. Occasionally *S. aureus* can cause an infection. When *S. aureus* develops resistance to the beta-lactam class of antibiotics, it is called methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, or MRSA.

### How is MRSA Spread?

MRSA is spread from one person to another by contact, usually on the hands of caregivers. MRSA can be present on the caregiver's hands either from touching contaminated material excreted by the infected person or from touching articles contaminated by the skin of a person with MRSA, such as towels, sheets, wound dressings. MRSA can survive well on hands and can survive for weeks on inanimate objects such as door handles, bedrails, pagers and stethoscopes.

### Colonization and Infection:

Colonization occurs when bacteria are present on or in the body without causing illness. MRSA can colonize the nose, skin and moist areas of the body. *Infection* occurs when bacteria get past the person’s normal defences and cause disease (e.g. skin bacteria getting into the bloodstream via an intravenous catheter). Infections with MRSA may be minor, such as pimples and boils, but serious infections may also occur, such as surgical wound infections and pneumonia.

### Risk Factors for MRSA Infection:

MRSA infection usually develops in hospitalized clients/patients/residents who are elderly or very sick (weakened immune systems). Other factors that increase the risk for acquiring MRSA infection include:

- Being colonized with MRSA
- Previous hospitalization or transfer between health care facilities (in Canada or outside Canada)
- Presence of an indwelling device (e.g., catheter)

### Good Hand Hygiene Practices:

Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after direct care. Health care staff should review the correct method of hand hygiene, as well as demonstrate the proper donning/removal of personal protective equipment (PPE) to clients/patients/residents, families and visitors.

Good hand hygiene practices means using alcohol-based hand rub or soap and running water for at least 15 seconds.

**Hand hygiene should occur:**

- Before client/patient/resident or environment contact
- Before performing aseptic procedures
- After care involving body fluids
- After client/patient/resident or environment contact

### Prevention & Control of MRSA:

1. Admission screening for MRSA must be completed:
   - Check for previous history of MRSA or high risk for MRSA using an admission screening tool.
   - If the client/patient/resident has previously had contact with an MRSA case, screening specimens must be obtained.
   - If the client/patient/resident is considered to be at risk for MRSA based on the results of the screening tool, screening specimens must be obtained.

2. If the client/patient/resident is known to have had MRSA in the past, **Contact Precautions** must be initiated:
   - Hand hygiene as described in Routine Practices
   - Appropriate client/patient/resident placement
   - Gloves for all activities in the patient’s room or bed space in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Long-sleeved gown for activities where skin or clothing will come in contact with the patient or their environment in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - A surgical mask should be worn as per Routine Practices
   - Dedicated equipment or adequate cleaning and disinfecting of shared equipment, including transport equipment
   - Daily cleaning of all touched surfaces in the room

3. Notify the Infection Prevention and Control Professional or delegate to discuss the infection control management of client/patient/resident activities.

4. Precautions are **not** to be discontinued until reviewed by Infection Prevention and Control.

5. Additional surveillance specimens for colonization of client/patient/resident contact(s) may be required, as directed by Infection Prevention and Control.

### Family & Visitors:

All families/visitors must practice good hand hygiene before and after leaving the client/patient/resident room.

Families/visitors who provide **direct care** must wear the same PPE as staff. “Direct care” is defined as providing hands-on care, such as bathing, washing, turning the client/patient/resident, changing clothes, dressing changes, care of open wounds/lesions, toileting. Feeding or pushing a wheelchair are not classified as direct care.

Written information should be available for clients/patients/residents that explains the precautions required.
WHAT IS VRE?

Enterococci are bacteria that live in the gastrointestinal tract of most individuals and generally do not cause harm (“colonization”). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are strains of enterococci that are resistant to the antibiotic vancomycin. If a person has an infection caused by VRE, such as a urinary tract infection or blood infection, it may be more difficult to treat.

HOW IS VRE SPREAD?

VRE is spread from one person to another by contact, usually on the hands of caregivers. VRE can be present on the caregiver’s hands either from touching contaminated material excreted by the infected person or from touching articles soiled by faeces. VRE can survive well on hands and can survive for weeks on inanimate objects such as toilet seats, door handles, bedrails, furniture, stethoscopes, rectal thermometers and bedpans.

RISK FACTORS FOR VRE:

People at risk for colonization or infection with VRE are usually hospitalized and have an underlying medical condition which makes them susceptible to infection. These conditions include clients/patients/residents with:

- Previous hospitalization or transfer between health care facilities (in Canada or outside Canada)
- Critical illness(es) in intensive care units
- Severe underlying disease or weakened immune systems
- Urinary catheters
- Exposure to (or contact with) a client/patient/resident with VRE
- Antibiotic use, particularly vancomycin

GOOD HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES:

Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after client/patient/resident contact/care. Health care staff should review the correct method of hand hygiene, as well as demonstrate the proper donning/removal of personal protective equipment (PPE) to clients/patients/residents, families and visitors.

Good hand hygiene practices means using alcohol-based hand rub or soap and running water for at least 15 seconds.

Hand hygiene should occur:

- Before client/patient/resident or environment contact
- Before performing aseptic procedures
- After care involving body fluids
- After client/patient/resident or environment contact

PREVENTION & CONTROL OF VRE:

1. Admission screening for VRE must be completed:

   - Check for previous history of VRE or high risk for VRE using the admission screening tool.
   - If the client/patient/resident has been a contact of a VRE case in the past, screening specimens must be obtained.
   - If the client/patient/resident is considered to be at risk for VRE based on the results of the screening tool, screening specimens must be obtained.

2. If the client/patient/resident is known to have had VRE in the past, Contact Precautions must be initiated:

   - Hand hygiene as described in Routine Practices
   - Appropriate client/patient/resident placement
   - Gloves for all activities in the patient's room or bed space in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Long-sleeved gown for activities where skin or clothing will come in contact with the patient or their environment in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Dedicated equipment or adequate cleaning and disinfecting of shared equipment, including transport equipment
   - Special discharge cleaning protocol is vital for VRE

3. Notify the Infection Prevention & Control Professional or delegate to discuss the infection control management of client/patient/resident activities.

4. Precautions are not to be discontinued until reviewed by Infection Prevention and Control.

5. Additional surveillance specimens for colonization of client/patient/resident contact(s) may be required, as directed by Infection Prevention and Control.

FAMILY & VISITORS:

1. All families/visitors must practice good hand hygiene before and after leaving the client/patient/resident’s room.

2. Families/visitors who provide direct care are to wear the same PPE as staff. “Direct care” is defined as providing hands-on care, such as bathing, washing, turning the client/patient/resident, changing clothes/diapers, dressing changes, care of open wounds/lesions, toileting. Feeding and pushing a wheelchair are not classified as direct care.

3. Provide written information for clients/patients/residents that explains the precautions required.
EXTENDED SPECTRUM BETA-LACTAMASE PRODUCING BACTERIA (ESBL)

Staff Fact Sheet

**WHAT ARE ESBLs?**

ESBLs are Gram-negative bacteria that produce an enzyme, beta-lactamase, that has the ability to break down commonly used antibiotics, such as penicillins and cephalosporins (including third generation) and render them ineffective for treatment. If ESBL-producing bacteria cause an infection, a different antibiotic may need to be used to treat the infection. People who carry ESBL-producing bacteria without any signs or symptoms of infection are said to be colonized. The commonest ESBL-producing bacteria are some strains of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

**HOW ARE ESBLs SPREAD?**

ESBLs are spread via direct and indirect contact with colonized/infected patients and contaminated environmental surfaces. ESBLs are not airborne. ESBLs are most commonly spread via unwashed hands of health care providers. ESBLs may also be spread within households.

**RISK FACTORS FOR ESBL:**

Risk factors for ESBL-producing bacterial acquisition include:

- Direct transfer from another hospital, nursing home, retirement home or other health care facility, including between facilities in the same health care corporation
- Any hospital, nursing home, retirement home or other health care facility admission in the past 1 year
- Patient receiving home health care services or hemodialysis
- Patient living in a communal living setting (e.g., shelter, halfway house)
- Patient who previously had an antibiotic-resistant organism (e.g., MRSA, VRE)

ESBL-producing bacteria are becoming more common in the community.

**GOOD HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES:**

Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after client/patient/resident contact care. Health care staff should review the correct method of hand hygiene, as well as demonstrate the proper donning/removal of personal protective equipment (PPE) to clients/patients/residents, families and visitors.

Good hand hygiene practices means using alcohol-based hand rub or soap and running water for at least 15 seconds.

**Hand hygiene should occur:**

- Before client/patient/resident or environment contact
- Before performing aseptic procedures
- After care involving body fluids

**PREVENTION & CONTROL OF ESBLs:**

1. Consistent use of Routine Practices with all patients/residents.
2. Admission screening:
   - Check for previous history of antibiotic-resistant organism. (ARO)
   - Complete the ARO screening tool for patients/residents
3. Initiate Contact Precautions for patients/residents with ESBL-producing bacteria:
   - Appropriate client/patient/resident placement
   - Gloves for all activities in the patient’s room or bed space in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Long-sleeved gown for activities where skin or clothing will come in contact with the patient or their environment in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Dedicated equipment or adequate cleaning and disinfecting of shared equipment, with particular attention to management of urinary catheters and associated equipment
4. Notify the Infection Prevention & Control Professional or delegate to discuss the infection control management of client/patient/resident activities.
5. Precautions are not to be discontinued until reviewed by Infection Prevention and Control.
6. Additional surveillance specimens for colonization of client/patient/resident contact(s) may be required, as directed by Infection Prevention and Control.

**FAMILY & VISITORS:**

1. All families/visitors must practice good hand hygiene before and after leaving the client/patient/resident’s room.
2. Families/visitors who provide direct care are to wear the same PPE as staff. “Direct care” is defined as providing hands-on care, such as bathing, washing, turning the client/patient/resident, changing clothes/incontinent pads, dressing changes, care of open wounds/lesions and toileting. Feeding and pushing a wheelchair are not classified as direct care.
3. Families/visitors should not help other patients/residents with their personal care. This may cause ESBL to spread.
4. Provide written information for clients/patients/residents that explains the precautions required.
CARBAPENEMASE-PRODUCING ENTEROBACTERIACEAE (CPE)

Staff Fact Sheet

WHAT ARE CPE?

Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae are resistant to carbapenem antimicrobials (e.g., imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem) through the production of carbapenemase enzymes.

Carbapenemases are enzymes that inactivate carbapenem, cephalosporin and penicillin antibiotics. The genetic information to produce carbapenemases is often located on a mobile genetic element (i.e., a genetic element that can move between bacterial strains and species, e.g., plasmid, transposon), which frequently also carries resistance to other classes of antimicrobials, such as fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides. To date, carbapenemases have been found most commonly in E. coli and Klebsiella species, but have also been found in other Gram-negative bacteria.

There are several different carbapenemases, each having a three-letter acronym, e.g., KPC = Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase; NDM = New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase.

These enzymes evolve rarely, but bacteria carrying them spread easily. Particular classes of carbapenemases are most common in the geographic area where they evolved, but can spread around the world, usually when patients have received health care in another country.

Because CPE are resistant to many classes of antimicrobials, treatment of infections with CPE is difficult and involves the use of antibiotics that have significant adverse events (e.g., colistin). The case fatality rate for serious infections may be as high as 50%.

CURRENT STATUS OF CPE IN ONTARIO

A small number of CPE have recently been reported in hospitals in Ontario. Most patients with CPE have had links to hospitals with recognized epidemic or endemic CPE (e.g., New York City hospitals with KPC K. pneumoniae, receipt of health care in the Indian subcontinent). However, transmission of CPE has been reported in Ontario.

HOW ARE CPE SPREAD?

Transmission is via direct and indirect contact. The primary site of colonization is the lower gastrointestinal tract.

RISK FACTORS FOR CPE

Risk factors for infection and colonization with CPE will be similar to those of other resistant Gram-negative bacteria, such as ESBL-producing E. coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae.

Currently, the major risk factor appears to be receipt of care in health care settings that have CPE, e.g., hospitals along the U.S. eastern seaboard, particularly New York City (KPC), Greece (KPC), Israel (KPC) and the Indian subcontinent (NDM-1). However, CPE outbreaks are being increasingly described in hospitals around the world, including Canada. People coming from the Indian subcontinent, with or without exposure to health care, are also at risk.

PREVENTION & CONTROL OF CPE:

1. Consistent use of Routine Practices with all patients/residents.

2. Screening:

   Surveillance is an important measure to prevent and control the spread of CPE. Admission screening and pre-emptive Contact Precautions are indicated for individuals with risk factors for CPE:

   - If a patient/resident is identified with CPE, roommates and patients in close proximity will be screened for CPE
   - Primary screening specimens for CPE are stool or rectal swabs. Urine specimens and swabs from open wounds may also be indicated. In critical care settings, sputum or endotracheal tube specimens and swabs from exit sites may be requested by Infection Prevention and Control
   - Patients with known CPE carriage will have their records flagged, will be placed on Contact Precautions and will be re-screened if readmitted.

3. Initiate Contact Precautions for patients/residents with CPE:

   - Appropriate client/patient/resident placement
   - Gloves for all activities in the patient’s room or bed space in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Long-sleeved gown for activities where skin or clothing will come in contact with the patient or their environment in acute care, or for direct care of clients/residents in long-term care and ambulatory/clinic settings
   - Dedicated equipment or adequate cleaning and disinfecting of shared equipment, with particular attention to management of urinary catheters and associated equipment

4. Notify the Infection Prevention & Control Professional or delegate to discuss the infection control management of client/patient/resident activities.

5. It is not known how long bowel colonization with CPE persists, but it is likely of long duration. Most colonized patients/residents are asymptomatic. Because of the implications of CPE infection and transmission, current expert recommendations are that patients remain on Contact Precautions for the duration of hospitalization. They should be presumed to be colonized and managed on Contact Precautions if they are readmitted.

6. There are no data to support CPE decolonization and it is not recommended.
METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS (MRSA)

Information Sheet for Patients and Visitors

WHAT IS MRSA?
Staphylococcus aureus is a germ that lives on the skin and mucous membranes of healthy people. Occasionally S. aureus can cause an infection. When S. aureus develops resistance to certain antibiotics, it is called methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, or MRSA.

HOW IS MRSA SPREAD?
MRSA is spread from one person to another by contact, usually on the hands of caregivers. MRSA can be present on the caregiver’s hands either from touching contaminated material excreted by the infected person or from touching articles contaminated by the skin of a person with MRSA, such as towels, sheets and wound dressings. MRSA can live on hands and objects in the environment.

WHAT SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR MRSA?
It is important that special precautions are taken to stop MRSA from spreading to other patients in the hospital. These precautions include:

- Single room accommodation (the door can remain open)
- A long-sleeved gown and gloves will be worn by everyone who cares for you
- A sign may be placed on your door to remind others who enter your room about the special precautions
- The room and the equipment used in the room will be cleaned and disinfected regularly
- Everyone who leaves your room must clean their hands well
- You must clean your hands before you leave your room

WHAT ABOUT FAMILY/ VISITORS?
Your family and visitors should not assist other patients with their personal care as this may cause the germ to spread. They may be required to wear a long-sleeved gown and gloves while in your room. Before leaving your room, visitors must remove the gloves and gown and dispose of them in the garbage container and the linen hamper located in your room. Then they must clean their hands.

GOOD HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES:
Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after they touch you. Ask your nurse or doctor to demonstrate proper hand hygiene techniques (15 seconds of soap and running water OR alcohol-based hand rub until hands are dry).
**You need to clean your hands:**

- After using the bathroom
- After blowing your nose
- Before eating and drinking
- Before and after you touch your dressing or wounds
- When your hands are visibly dirty (soiled)
- Before you leave your room

**WHAT WILL HAPPEN AT HOME?**

If you have MRSA at the time of discharge from hospital, the following practices are recommended:

- Everyone who might help you with your personal hygiene or with going to the toilet should wash their hands after contact with you.
- Wash your hands before you make any food and before you eat. This practice should be followed by everyone in the household.
- Wash your hands well after using the toilet. Make sure others that use the bathroom wash their hands well afterwards.
- Clothing may be laundered in the usual manner, and along with, the rest of the household laundry.
- No special cleaning of furniture or items (e.g. dishes) in the home is required.
- Always tell your physician, paramedics, nurses or other care providers that you have MRSA. This helps prevent spread to others and helps your doctor choose the right antibiotics if necessary.
VANCOMYCIN RESISTANT ENTEROCOCCUS (VRE)
Information Sheet for Patients and Visitors

WHAT IS VRE?
Enterococci are germs that live in the gastrointestinal tract (bowels) of most individuals and generally do not cause harm (this is termed “colonization”). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are strains of enterococci that are resistant to the antibiotic vancomycin. If a person has an infection caused by VRE it may be more difficult to treat.

HOW IS VRE SPREAD?
VRE is spread from one person to another by contact, usually on the hands of caregivers. VRE can be present on the caregiver’s hands either from touching contaminated material excreted by an infected person or from touching articles soiled by faeces. VRE can survive well on hands and can survive for weeks on inanimate objects such as toilet seats, taps, door handles, bedrails, furniture and bedpans. VRE is easy to kill with the proper use of disinfectants and good hand hygiene.

WHAT SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR VRE?
It is important that special precautions are taken to stop VRE from spreading to other patients in the hospital. These precautions include:
- Single room accommodation (the door can remain open)
- A long-sleeved gown and gloves will be worn by everyone who cares for you
- A sign may be placed on your door to remind others who enter your room about the special precautions
- The room and the equipment used in the room will be cleaned and disinfected regularly
- Everyone who leaves your room must clean their hands well
- You must clean your hands before you leave your room

WHAT ABOUT FAMILY/VisITORS?
Your family and visitors should not assist other patients with their personal care as this may cause the germ to spread. They may be required to wear a long-sleeved gown and gloves while in your room. Before leaving your room, visitors must remove the gloves and gown and dispose of them in the garbage container and the linen hamper located in your room. Then they must clean their hands.

GOOD HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES:
Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after they touch you. Ask your nurse or doctor to demonstrate proper hand hygiene techniques (15 seconds of soap and running water OR alcohol-based hand rub until hands are dry).
You need to clean your hands:

- After using the bathroom
- After blowing your nose
- Before eating and drinking
- Before and after you touch your dressing or wounds
- When your hands are visibly dirty (soiled)
- Before you leave your room

WHAT WILL HAPPEN AT HOME?

If you have VRE at the time of discharge from hospital, the following practices are recommended:

- Everyone who might help you with your personal hygiene or with going to the toilet should wash their hands after contact with you.
- Wash your hands before you make any food and before you eat. This practice should be followed by everyone in the household.
- Wash your hands well after using the toilet. Make sure others that use the bathroom wash their hands well afterwards.
- Clothing may be laundered in the usual manner, and along with, the rest of the household laundry.
- No special cleaning of furniture or items (e.g., dishes) in the home is required.
- If you share a bathroom at home, clean the toilet and sink at least weekly with a household cleanser.
- Always tell your physician, paramedics, nurses or other care providers that you have VRE. This helps prevent spread to others.
EXTENDED SPECTRUM BETA-LACTAMASE PRODUCING BACTERIA (ESBL)
Information Sheet for Patients and Visitors

**WHAT ARE ESBLs?**
ESBL-producing bacteria are a group of bacteria that produce enzymes called ‘beta-lactamases’. These enzymes break down commonly used antibiotics so that the antibiotics don’t work and a different antibiotic may need to be used to treat the infection. Some people carry ESBL-producing bacteria but do not have an infection.

**HOW ARE ESBLs SPREAD?**
ESBL-producing bacteria can be spread to other people directly through touch, if hands are unwashed, or indirectly by contact with soiled equipment and, particularly urine-care equipment such as catheters and urinals.

**WHAT SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR ESBLs?**
It is important that special precautions are taken to stop ESBL from spreading to other patients in the facility. These precautions include:

- Single room accommodation (the door can remain open)
- A long-sleeved gown and gloves may be worn by everyone who cares for you
- A sign may be placed on your door to remind others who enter your room about the special precautions
- The room and the equipment used in the room will be cleaned and disinfected regularly
- Everyone who leaves your room must clean their hands well
- You must clean your hands before you leave your room

**WHAT ABOUT FAMILY/VISITORS?**
Your family and visitors may visit you. Your family and visitors should not assist other patients with their personal care as this may cause the germ to spread. They may be required to wear a long-sleeved gown and gloves while in your room. Before leaving your room, visitors must remove the gloves and gown and dispose of them in the garbage container and the linen hamper located in your room. Then they must clean their hands.

**GOOD HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES:**
Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after they touch you. Ask your nurse or doctor to demonstrate proper hand hygiene techniques (15 seconds of soap and running water OR alcohol-based hand rub until hands are dry).
**You need to clean your hands:**

- After using the bathroom
- After blowing your nose
- Before eating and drinking
- Before and after you touch your dressing or wounds
- When your hands are visibly dirty (soiled)
- Before you leave your room

**WHAT WILL HAPPEN AT HOME?**

- If you have ESBL at the time of discharge from hospital, the following practices are recommended:
  - Everyone who might help you with your personal hygiene or with going to the toilet should wash their hands after contact with you.
  - Wash your hands before you make any food and before you eat. This practice should be followed by everyone in the household.
  - Wash your hands well after using the toilet. Make sure others that use the bathroom wash their hands well afterwards.
  - Clothing may be laundered in the usual manner, and along with, the rest of the household laundry.
  - No special cleaning of furniture or items (e.g. dishes) in the home is required.
  - If you share a bathroom at home, clean the toilet and sink at least weekly with a household cleanser.
  - Always tell your physician, paramedics, nurses or other care providers that you have ESBL. This helps prevent spread to others and helps your doctor choose the right antibiotics if necessary.
CARBAPENEMASE-PRODUCING ENTEROBACTERIACEAE (CPE)
Information Sheet for Patients and Visitors

WHAT ARE CPE?
*Enterobacteriaceae* are a family of bacteria, many of which live naturally in our bowels. Carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE) produce carbapenemase enzymes that can break down many types of antibiotics, making the bacteria very resistant. In Canadian hospitals, there are currently few infections with CPE, but caution is still needed to prevent their increase and spread.

HOW ARE CPE SPREAD?
Most people who carry CPE have no symptoms of infection and are said to be colonized. The main site of colonization of CPE is the bowel. CPE is not spread through the air, but may survive on equipment and surfaces, such as bedrails, tables, chairs, countertops and door handles. CPE can be spread from one person to another by unwashed hands or from contact with soiled equipment and surfaces.

Infection occurs when CPE enters the body at specific sites and causes symptoms of disease. For example, CPE can cause pneumonia and urinary tract infections. Since CPE are resistant to many types of antibiotics, treatment is difficult and may involve antibiotics which have significant side effects.

DOES CPE GO AWAY?
People who have CPE in their bowel will likely carry it for a long time. You may be treated if CPE is causing symptoms of infection.

WHO IS AT RISK FOR CPE?
Currently, the major risk factor is receiving health care in settings that have CPE, e.g., hospitals along the U.S. eastern seaboard (particularly New York City), Greece, Israel and the Indian subcontinent. CPE outbreaks have been seen in hospitals around the world, including Canada. People coming from the Indian subcontinent, with or without exposure to health care, are also at risk.

WHAT SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR CPE?
Your healthcare team will continue to provide the same level of patient care. If a patient/resident is identified with CPE, roommates and patients in close proximity will be screened for CPE.

Additional Precautions will be used to prevent the possible spread of the bacteria. For example:

- You may need to be moved to a single room
- A sign may be placed on your door to remind others who enter your room about the special precautions (i.e., instructions to wash hands, wear gown and gloves)
- Speak to your doctor or nurse about special instructions when leaving your room
- Everyone who leaves your room must clean their hands well, including you
- Your hospital record will indicate CPE
WHAT ABOUT FAMILY/VISITORS?
Family and visitors can visit you. Healthy family and visitors have a low risk of acquiring infection with CPE. All visitors must be instructed by the staff on how to use Additional Precautions. Children and infants should be closely supervised. We ask that your visitors only visit you and your room, and to do the following:
- Clean their hands before entering your room and when leaving
- Not to use your bathroom
- Not to eat or drink in your room

GOOD HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES:
Remind all staff and visitors to practice good hand hygiene before and after they touch you. Ask your nurse or doctor to demonstrate proper hand hygiene techniques (15 seconds of soap and running water OR alcohol-based hand rub until hands are dry).

You need to clean your hands:
- After using the bathroom
- After blowing your nose
- Before eating and drinking
- Before and after you touch your dressing or wounds
- When your hands are visibly dirty (soiled)
- Before you leave your room

WHAT WILL HAPPEN AT HOME?
- It is important to wash hands often at home for fifteen seconds each time, especially after using the bathroom and before preparing food.
- No special cleaning of items in your home (e.g., dishes) are required.
- Clothing may be laundered in the usual manner, along with the rest of the household laundry.
- If you go to another health care facility, visit another doctor or have Home Care services you should tell them that you have CPE. They may use Additional Precautions when providing care, which will help prevent spread to others and helps your doctor choose the correct antibiotic treatment.
APPENDIX D: SAMPLE INVESTIGATION PROTOCOLS FOR MRSA AND VRE IN ACUTE CARE FACILITIES

NOTE: The following investigation protocols are provided as SAMPLES to be used as a guide when developing individualized policies in acute care facilities.

**SAMPLE 1: MRSA PRESENT AT ADMISSION**

Single MRSA case identified on admission screening OR Clinical specimen taken within 48* hours of admission

Refer to Algorithm 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Institute Contact Precautions for patient with MRSA.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Provide patient and visitor education.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If only one specimen at one site is positive in a newly identified case, re-swab the patient.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flag patient.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have laboratory save the isolate if this is not done routinely for first isolates.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Identify whether patient has risk factors for MRSA:</td>
<td>15-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the patient’s risk factor for MRSA is a prior admission in your facility, begin an investigation based on the recognition that this may have been acquired at your facility.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If patient was a resident of another health care facility, or has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If the patient has been discharged home, the patient or family physician should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Identify any roommates or contacts that this patient has had since admission:</td>
<td>19-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. If roommate or contact has been discharged home or transferred to another facility, flag them for screening on readmission and notify family physician or physician most responsible for their care.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Determine if the roommate or contact requires Contact Precautions, based on your facility policies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Screen the roommate or contact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. If results of screening are positive (i.e. additional MRSA-positive patients are detected):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Flag roommate or contact.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. If roommate or contact has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If roommate or contact has been discharged home, they or their family physician or the physician most responsible for their care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If screening results indicate that this may be an outbreak or that there are health care-associated cases, begin an investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: the decision to consider cases identified more than 48 hours after admission, rather than 72 hours after admission, as health care associated to your facility is arbitrary. There is no evidence to support one time over the other. Forty-eight hours is used in this document for consistency with other Canadian guidelines.*
**Algorithm 1:** Management of a Single New Case of MRSA

1. **New single MRSA case identified**
   - **Yes:** Flag patient, have lab save isolate, identify risk factors
   - **No:** Institute Additional Precautions, provide patient/visitor education, single specimen from a single site?
     - **Yes:** Re-culture: nares, perianal, perineum, or groin, skin lesions, wounds, ulcers
     - **No:** Investigate reasons for discrepancy

2. **Single specimen from a single site?**
   - **Yes:** Notify receiving health care facility, notify family physician/physician most responsible for care, if discharged home
   - **No:** Previously admitted to this facility?
     - **Yes:** Any roommates or contacts since admission?
       - **Yes:** Roommates or contacts discharged home or transferred?
         - **Yes:** Screen roommates, screen contacts, determine if roommate/contacts require additional precautions
         - **No:** Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility, discontinue additional precautions when appropriate
       - **No:** Flag contacts for screening on readmission, if transferred, notify receiving facility
     - **No:** Go to Algorithm #2 (suspected nosocomial MRSA case)
### SAMPLE 2: SUSPECTED HEALTH CARE-ASSOCIATED MRSA

Single MRSA case identified on a clinical specimen or screening specimen taken more than 48 hours after admission, in the absence of a known outbreak

Refer to Algorithm 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Institute Contact Precautions for patient with MRSA</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Provide patient and visitor education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If only one specimen at one site is positive in a newly identified case, re-swab the patient</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flag patient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have laboratory save the isolate if this is not done routinely for first isolates</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. If patient has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If the patient has been discharged home, the patient or family physician or physician most responsible for care should be notified of the screening results</td>
<td>3, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If the patient’s risk factor for MRSA is a prior admission in your facility, begin an investigation based on the recognition that this may have been acquired at your facility</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Assess patient to attempt to identify sources for the MRSA:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Establish an &quot;at-risk&quot; period when the patient may have been colonized but was not recognized (e.g. during a known exposure to another positive patient).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Identify roommates or contacts that this patient has had during the ‘at-risk’ period:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Based on their degree of exposure, determine if Contact Precautions are required for roommates or contacts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. If roommate or contact has been subsequently transferred to another facility, notify that facility about the need to screen them for MRSA.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If roommate or contact has been discharged home or transferred to another facility, flag them for screening on readmission.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Screen the identified roommates and/or contacts that remain in your facility.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. If results of screening are positive (i.e. additional MRSA-positive patients are detected):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Flag roommate or contact</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Institute Contact Precautions for roommate or contact if this has not been done</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ If roommate or contact has been subsequently transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If roommate or contact has been discharged home, they or their family physician or the physician most responsible for care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Identify other contacts who need to be screened. In particular, consider screening all patients who are on the same unit/ward, or who spent more than 3-4 days on the unit/ward during the at-risk period (“prevalence screen”).</td>
<td>21-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. If analysis of the prevalence screen results for MRSA identifies further transmission, then additional screening should be conducted until no further transmission is detected.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Consider whether follow-up of any contacts in the community is warranted (e.g. patients who are frequently re-admitted).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Facilities that do not have well-established infection prevention and control departments should work with organizations that have infection prevention and control expertise, such as academic health science centres, Regional Infection Control Networks, public health units that have professional staff certified in infection prevention and control and local infection prevention and control associations (e.g. Community and Hospital Infection Control Association – Canada chapters), to develop protocols for effective follow-up of MRSA cases.

*Note: the decision to consider cases identified more then 48 hours after admission, rather than 72 hours after admission, as health care associated to your facility is arbitrary. There is no evidence to support one time over the other. Forty-eight hours is used in this document for consistency with other Canadian guidelines.
ALGORITHM 2: MANAGEMENT OF SUSPECTED HEALTH CARE-ASSOCIATED MRSA

Suspected health care-associated MRSA case identified

Was new case identified from admission screen or clinical specimen taken >48 hours after admission, in the absence of an outbreak?

Yes

Flag patient
- Have lab save isolate
- Identify risk factors

Institute Additional Precautions
- Provide patient/visitor education

No

Single specimen from a single site?

Yes

Re-culture:
- nasals
- perianal, perineum or groin
- skin lesions, wounds, incisions, ulcers, exit sites

Repeat cultures positive?

Yes

Investigate reasons for discrepancy

No

Suspected health care-associated MRSA case identified

No

Flag contacts for screening on readmission
- If transferred, notify receiving facility

Roommates or contacts discharged home or transferred?

Yes

Flag contacts for screening on readmission
- If transferred, notify receiving facility

No

Resident of another facility?
- Transferred to another facility?
- Discharged home?

Yes

Determine if contacts require Additional Precautions based on their degree of exposure
- Screen roommates and other contacts

No

Contact screening results positive?

Yes

Flag positive contacts
- Institute Additional Precautions for positive contacts

No

Consider other contacts who might require screening, such as those on the same unit/ward during the 'at-risk' period (prevalence screen)

No

Are results of prevalence screen positive?

Yes

Positive contact transferred to another facility?
- Discharged home?

Yes

Notify receiving health care facility
- Notify family
- Physician most responsible for care, if discharged home

No

Proceed with outbreak management protocol

No

Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility
- Discontinue Additional Precautions when appropriate

Go to Algorithm #1: (single new case of MRSA)
## SAMPLE 3: VRE PRESENT AT ADMISSION

**Single VRE case identified on admission screening**  
**OR**  
Clinical specimen taken within 48 hours of admission  

Refer to Algorithm 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Institute Contact Precautions for patient with VRE.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Provide patient and visitor education.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If only one specimen at one site is positive in a newly identified case, re-swab the patient.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flag patient.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have laboratory save the isolate if this is not done routinely for first isolates.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Identify whether patient has risk factors for VRE:</td>
<td>15-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. If the patient’s risk factor for VRE is a prior admission in your facility, begin an investigation based on the recognition that this may have been acquired at your facility.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Consider whether any room occupied by the patient on a previous admission was occupied by a VRE-positive patient who was identified only after discharge from the room (i.e., room was not cleaned appropriately for VRE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If patient was a resident of another health care facility, or has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If the patient has been discharged home, the patient or family physician should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Identify any roommates or contacts that this patient has had since admission:</td>
<td>19-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. If roommate or contact has been discharged home or transferred to another facility, flag them for screening on readmission.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Determine if the roommate or contact requires Contact Precautions, based on your facility policies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Screen the roommate or contact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. If results of screening are positive (i.e., additional VRE-positive patients are detected):</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Flag roommate or contact.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. If roommate or contact has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If roommate or contact has been discharged home, they or their family physician or the physician most responsible for their care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If screening results indicate that this may be an outbreak or that there are health care-associated cases, begin an investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <strong>If the patient was present on the unit/ward for four or fewer days</strong> during which Contact Precautions were not being used:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Screen remaining patients on the unit/ward seven days after discharge of the patient. If screening results indicate that there are health care-associated cases or that this may be an outbreak, begin an investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Clean all rooms that the patient was in, according to VRE cleaning protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g. mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as high-touch surfaces in main areas (e.g. telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine).</td>
<td>Refer to Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning for Prevention and Control of VRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <strong>If the patient was present on the unit/ward for five or more days</strong> during which Contact Precautions were not being used:</td>
<td><strong>Infections</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Screen all patients on the unit/ward on the day the VRE is identified.</td>
<td>Refer to <em>Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning for Prevention and Control of Infections</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward three days later.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward seven days after discharge of the patient.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. If screening results indicate that there are health care-associated cases or that this may be an outbreak, begin an investigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. All rooms the patient was in must be cleaned.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. <strong>Clean and disinfect</strong> all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g. mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as <strong>high-touch</strong> surfaces in main areas (e.g. telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. When doing a prevalence screen, test every patient who was on the unit at the time chosen for the screen; if a patient is to be discharged or transferred out of the unit before the prevalence screen is done, he/she should be screened prior to discharge/transfer.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** the decision to consider cases identified more than 48 hours after admission, rather than 72 hours after admission, as health care associated to your facility is arbitrary. There is no evidence to support one time over the other. Forty-eight hours is used in this document for consistency with other Canadian guidelines.
Algorithm 3: Management of a Single New Case of VRE
## SAMPLE 4: SUSPECTED HEALTH CARE-ASSOCIATED VRE

**Single VRE case identified on a clinical specimen or screening specimen taken more than 48* hours after admission, in the absence of a known outbreak**

Refer to Algorithm 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Institute Contact Precautions for patient with VRE.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Provide patient and visitor education.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If only one specimen at one site is positive in a newly identified case, re-swab the patient.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flag patient.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have laboratory save the isolate if this is not done routinely for first isolates.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. If patient has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If the patient has been discharged home, the patient or family physician or physician most responsible for care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Clean all rooms that the patient was in, according to VRE cleaning protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g., mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as high-touch surfaces in main areas (e.g., telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine), according to VRE cleaning protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <strong>Roommates and Contacts</strong>: assess patient to attempt to identify sources for the VRE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Establish an “at-risk” period when the patient may have been colonized but was not recognized (e.g. during a known exposure to another positive patient).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Identify roommates or contacts that this patient has had during the at-risk period:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Based on their degree of exposure, determine if Contact Precautions are required for roommates or contacts.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. If roommate or contact has been subsequently transferred to another facility, notify that facility about the need to screen them for VRE.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If roommate or contact has been discharged home or transferred to another facility, flag them for screening on readmission.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Screen the identified roommates and/or contacts that remain in your facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. If results of screening are <strong>positive</strong> (i.e., additional VRE-positive patients are detected):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Flag roommate or contact;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Institute Contact Precautions for roommate or contact if this has not been done;</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ If roommate or contact has been subsequently transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If roommate or contact has been discharged home, they or their family physician or the physician most responsible for care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi. If results of screening are negative (i.e., no additional VRE-positive patients are detected), re-screen all those previously screened (from (iv) above) seven days after the last day that the original patient was on the unit/ward and not on Contact Precautions.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Consider whether follow-up of any contacts in the community is warranted (e.g., patients who are frequently re-admitted).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Procedure

#### 10. Conduct prevalence screens:

- **If the patient was present on the unit/ward for four or fewer days** during which Contact Precautions were not being used, screen all patients on the unit/ward seven days after discharge of the patient.

  - **b. If the patient was present on the unit/ward for five or more days** during which Contact Precautions were not being used:
    - i. Screen all patients on the unit/ward on the day the VRE is identified, if not already screened as contacts.
    - ii. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward three days later.
    - iii. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward seven days after discharge of the patient.
    - iv. When doing a prevalence screen, test every patient who was on the unit at the time chosen for the screen; if a patient is to be discharged or transferred out of the unit before the prevalence screen is done, he/she should be screened prior to discharge/transfer.

- c. If analysis of the prevalence screen results for VRE identifies further transmission:
  - i. Continue screening every three days until there have been three negative results, indicating that there are no further cases of VRE on the unit/ward.
  - ii. Do not permit transfers from the unit/ward to other units/wards or discharges to other facilities except in emergency situations, or if the receiving unit/facility has been notified and can implement Contact Precautions and screening as appropriate.
  - iii. Consider closing the unit/ward to new admissions until patients on the unit/ward have been screened and results are known, and cleaning of shared equipment and rooms is complete.

#### 11. Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility.

#### 12. The patient’s room must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected following the patient’s discharge, according to VRE cleaning protocol.

#### 13. Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g., mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as high-touch surfaces in main areas (e.g., telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine), according to VRE cleaning protocol.

#### 14. Facilities that do not have well-established infection prevention and control departments should work with organizations that have infection prevention and control expertise, such as academic health science centres, Regional Infection Control Networks, public health units that have professional staff certified in infection prevention and control and local infection prevention and control associations (e.g., Community and Hospital Infection Control Association – Canada chapters), to develop protocols for effective follow-up of VRE cases.

*Note: the decision to consider cases identified more than 48 hours after admission, rather than 72 hours after admission, as health care associated to your facility is arbitrary. There is no evidence to support one time over the other. Forty-eight hours is used in this document for consistency with other Canadian guidelines.*
Algorithm 4: Management of Suspected Health Care-Associated VRE

Suspected health care-associated VRE case identified

Was new case identified from admission screen or clinical specimen taken ≥48 hours after admission, in the absence of an outbreak?

- Flag patient
  - Have lab save isolate
  - Identify risk factors

- Institute Additional Precautions
  - Provide patient/visitor education

Single specimen from a single site?

- Re-culture:
  - stool or rectal
  - any positive clinical sites

Repeat cultures positive?

- Flag patients
  - Resided in another facility?
  - Transferred to another facility?
  - Discharged home?

- Notify receiving health care facility
  - Notify family physician/physician most responsible for care, if discharged home

Contact screening results positive?

- Determine if contacts require Additional Precautions based on their degree of exposure
  - Screen roommates and other contacts

- Flag contacts for screening on readmission
  - If transferred, notify receiving facility

Roommates or contacts discharged home or transferred?

- Re-screen all those previously screened 7 days after last day that initial patient was on the unit/ward and not on Additional Precautions

- Positive contact transferred to another facility?
  - Discharged home?

Any roommates or contacts during at-risk period?

- Conduct prevalence screens:
  - Initial patient on unit/ward: ≤ 4 days: screen all patients on unit/ward 7 days after discharge of initial patient
  - Initial patient on unit/ward: ≥ 5 days: screen all patients on unit/ward immediately, 3 days later AND 7 days after discharge of initial patient

Are results of prevalence screen positive?

- Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility
- Discontinue Additional Precautions when appropriate

Proceed with outbreak management protocol

Go to Algorithm 3 (single new case of VRE)
**SAMPLE 5: CPE PRESENT AT ADMISSION**

**Single CPE case identified on admission screening**

**OR**

**Clinical specimen taken within 48* hours of admission**

Refer to Algorithm 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Institute Contact Precautions for patient with CPE.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Provide patient and visitor education.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If only one specimen at one site is positive in a newly identified case, re-swab the patient.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flag patient.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have laboratory save the isolate if this is not done routinely for first isolates.</td>
<td>15-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Identify whether patient has risk factors for CPE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. If the patient’s risk factor for CPE is a prior admission in your facility, begin an investigation based on the recognition that this may have been acquired at your facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Consider whether any room occupied by the patient on a previous admission was occupied by a CPE-positive patient who was identified only after discharge from the room (i.e., room was not cleaned appropriately for CPE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If patient was a resident of another health care facility, or has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If the patient has been discharged home, the patient or family physician should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Identify any roommates or contacts that this patient has had since admission:</td>
<td>19-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. If roommate or contact has been discharged home or transferred to another facility, flag them for screening on readmission.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Determine if the roommate or contact requires Contact Precautions, based on your facility policies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Screen the roommate or contact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. If results of screening are positive (i.e., additional CPE-positive patients are detected):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Flag roommate or contact.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. If roommate or contact has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If roommate or contact has been discharged home, they or their family physician or the physician most responsible for their care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If screening results indicate that this may be an outbreak or that there are health care-associated cases, begin an investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the patient was present on the unit/ward for four or fewer days during which Contact Precautions were not being used:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Screen remaining patients on the unit/ward seven days after discharge of the patient. If screening results indicate that there are health care-associated cases or that this may be an outbreak, begin an investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Clean all rooms that the patient was in, according to CPE cleaning protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g. mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as commonly touched surfaces in main areas (e.g. telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine). Clean and disinfect sinks in patient’s room.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning for Prevention and Control of Infections.
10. **If the patient was present on the unit/ward for five or more days** during which Contact Precautions were not being used:
   
a. Screen all patients on the unit/ward on the day the CPE is identified.
   
b. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward three days later.
   
c. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward 21 days after discharge of the patient.
   
d. If screening results indicate that there are health care-associated cases or that this may be an outbreak, begin an investigation
   
e. All rooms the patient was in must be cleaned.
   
f. Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward requires cleaning and disinfection (e.g. mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as high-touch surfaces in main areas (e.g. telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine).

11. When doing a prevalence screen, test every patient who was on the unit at the time chosen for the screen; if a patient is to be discharged or transferred out of the unit before the prevalence screen is done, he/she should be screened prior to discharge/transfer.

12. Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility.

*Note: the decision to consider cases identified more than 48 hours after admission, rather than 72 hours after admission, as health care associated to your facility is arbitrary. There is no evidence to support one time over the other. Forty-eight hours is used in this document for consistency with other Canadian guidelines.*
Algorithm 5: Management of a Single New Case of CPE

New single CPE case identified

Was new case identified from admission screen or clinical specimen taken 48 hours after admission?

Yes

Institute Additional Precautions
+ single room + dedicated toilet/commode
+ Provide patient/visitor education

No

Flag patient
+ Have lab save isolate
+ Identify risk factors

Previously admitted to this facility?

Yes

Resident of another facility?

Yes

Transferred to another facility?

No

Discharged home?

Do any roommates or contacts have positive cultures?

Yes

Screen all patients on current unit/ward weekly until at least 3 negative cultures with 1 set taken at least 21 days after exposure

No

Screen all patients on initial patient’s current unit/ward immediately

No

Screen roommates and contacts from units/wards that initial patient was on immediately, then screen weekly until at least 3 negative cultures with 1 set taken at least 21 days after exposure

+ Place roommates/contacts on Additional Precautions pending screening results

Roommates or contacts discharged home or transferred?

Yes

Flag contact for screening on readmission
+ If transferred, notify receiving facility

No

Flag contact for screening on readmission
+ If discharged, notify receiving facility

Go to Algorithm #6 (suspected nosocomial CPE case)

No

Do any roommates or contacts have positive cultures?

Yes

Continue with case management for CPE
+ Discontinue Additional Precautions when appropriate

No

Clean all rooms occupied by the initial patient from time of admission to current time

Clean and disinfect:
+ all shared equipment on unit/ward
+ High-touch surfaces in patient’s room
+ Sinks in patient’s room

Notify receiving health care facility
+ Notify facility physician/physician most responsible for care, if discharged home

Identify risk factors
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PIDAC: Annex A - Screening, Testing and Surveillance for Antibiotic-resistant Organisms (AROs)
### SAMPLE 6: SUSPECTED HEALTH CARE-ASSOCIATED CPE

Single CPE case identified on a clinical specimen or screening specimen taken more than 48* hours after admission, in the absence of a known outbreak

Refer to Algorithm 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Institute Contact Precautions for patient with CPE.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Provide patient and visitor education.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If only one specimen at one site is positive in a newly identified case, re-swab the patient.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flag patient.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have laboratory save the isolate if this is not done routinely for first isolates.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. If patient has been transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If the patient has been discharged home, the patient or family physician or physician most responsible for care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td>Refer to Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning for Prevention and Control of Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Clean all rooms that the patient was in, according to CPE cleaning protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g., mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as high-touch surfaces in main areas (e.g., telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine), according to CPE cleaning protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <strong>Roommates and Contacts:</strong> assess patient to attempt to identify sources for the CPE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Establish an “at-risk” period when the patient may have been colonized but was not recognized (e.g. during a known exposure to another positive patient).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Identify roommates or contacts that this patient has had during the at-risk period:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Based on their degree of exposure, determine if Contact Precautions are required for roommates or contacts.</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. If roommate or contact has been subsequently transferred to another facility, notify that facility about the need to screen them for CPE.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If roommate or contact has been discharged home or transferred to another facility, flag them for screening on readmission.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Screen the identified roommates and/or contacts that remain in your facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi. If results of screening are positive (i.e., additional CPE-positive patients are detected):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Flag roommate or contact;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Institute Contact Precautions for roommate or contact if this has not been done;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. If roommate or contact has been subsequently transferred to another facility, notify that facility of the screening results. If roommate or contact has been discharged home, they or their family physician or the physician most responsible for care should be notified of the screening results.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii. If results of screening are negative (i.e., no additional CPE-positive patients are detected), re-screen all those previously screened (from (iv) above) seven days after the last day that the original patient was on the unit/ward and not on Contact Precautions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Consider whether follow-up of any contacts in the community is warranted (e.g., patients who are frequently re-admitted).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Procedure**

10. **Conduct prevalence screens:**

   a. **If the patient was present on the unit/ward for four or fewer days** during which Contact Precautions were not being used, screen all patients on the unit/ward 21 days after discharge of the patient.

   b. **If the patient was present on the unit/ward for five or more days** during which Contact Precautions were not being used:
      - i. Screen all patients on the unit/ward on the day the CPE is identified, if not already screened as contacts.
      - ii. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward three days later.
      - iii. Re-screen all patients on the unit/ward 21 days after discharge of the patient.
      - iv. When doing a prevalence screen, test every patient who was on the unit at the time chosen for the screen; if a patient is to be discharged or transferred out of the unit before the prevalence screen is done, he/she should be screened prior to discharge/transfer.

   c. If analysis of the prevalence screen results for CPE identifies further transmission:
      - iv. Continue screening every three days until there have been three negative results, indicating that there are no further cases of CPE on the unit/ward.
      - v. Do not permit transfers from the unit/ward to other units/wards or discharges to other facilities except in emergency situations, or if the receiving unit/facility has been notified and can implement Contact Precautions and screening as appropriate.
      - vi. Consider closing the unit/ward to new admissions until patients on the unit/ward have been screened and results are known, and cleaning of shared equipment and rooms is complete.

11. **Continue with case management for cases and positive contacts still in facility.**

12. **The patient’s room must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected following the patient’s discharge, according to CPE cleaning protocol.**

13. **Clean and disinfect all shared equipment on the unit/ward (e.g., mobile blood pressure cuffs, stretchers, glucometers, oximeters) as well as high-touch surfaces in main areas (e.g., telephones and keyboards in nursing station, buttons on ice machine), according to CPE cleaning protocol.**

14. **Facilities that do not have well-established infection prevention and control departments should work with organizations that have infection prevention and control expertise, such as academic health science centres, Regional Infection Control Networks, public health units that have professional staff certified in infection prevention and control and local infection prevention and control associations (e.g., Community and Hospital Infection Control Association – Canada chapters), to develop protocols for effective follow-up of CPE cases.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refer to Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning for Prevention and Control of Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** the decision to consider cases identified more than 48 hours after admission, rather than 72 hours after admission, as health care associated to your facility is arbitrary. There is no evidence to support one time over the other. Forty-eight hours is used in this document for consistency with other Canadian guidelines.
Algorithm 6: Management of Suspected Health Care-Associated CPE

Suspected health care-associated CPE case identified.

Was new case identified from admission screen or clinical specimen taken >48 hours after admission, in the absence of an outbreak?

- Conduct prevalence screens:
  - Screen all patients on patient's current unit/ward immediately
  - Screen all patients on current unit/ward weekly until at least 3 negative cultures with 1 set taken at least 21 days after exposure
  - Between roommates/contacts from other unit/wards occupied by patient prior to current unit/ward

- Flag patient
  - Have lab isolate
  - Identify risk factors

- Resident of another facility?
  - Transferred to another facility?
  - Discharged home?

- Place roommates/contacts on Additional Precautions pending screening results

- Contact screening or prevalence screening results positive?

  - Positive contact transferred to another facility?
    - Discharged home?

  - Flag positive contacts
    - Maintain Additional Precautions for positive contacts

Proceed with outbreak management protocol
APPENDIX E: SAMPLE LETTERS FOR PHYSICIANS

[Adapted from materials provided by Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario]

Letter #1: Contact of a positive patient who has been discharged home before screening tests were done

[insert date]

Dr. [insert physician name]
[insert address line 1]
[insert address line 2]

Dear Dr. [insert physician last name],

RE: [insert patient name]
DOB: [insert patient’s date of birth]

While in [insert name of facility], your above named patient was in the same room with another patient who has since been found to be colonized with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). As I am sure you are aware, MRSA is resistant to all penicillins and cephalosporins.

Because *Staphylococcus aureus* can cause serious health care-associated infections, we want to make sure that no acquisition with a resistant strain has occurred. Although the risk is low, *Staphylococcus aureus* can be transmitted from person-to-person by direct or indirect contact on the same ward. In order to be sure that your patient is not affected, we are requesting that [he/she] have swabs of the anterior nares, perianal area and any open wounds collected, looking for MRSA only (please indicate this specifically on lab requisition).

We would be grateful if you would arrange that a copy of the results of these specimens be faxed to [insert name of Infection Prevention & Control Professional or Physician], at [insert fax number of Infection Prevention & Control Professional or Physician].

In the unlikely event that your patient has acquired this organism please contact the infection prevention and control department at [insert phone number] and we would be willing to discuss with you our strategy for management of MRSA. If you have any questions or comments, please call us at any time.

Thank you very much for your assistance and co-operation in this matter.

Sincerely,

[insert name of Infection Prevention & Control Professional or Physician]
[insert title of Infection Prevention & Control Professional or Physician]
[insert address line 1]
[insert address line 2]
[insert phone number]
Letter #2: Positive patient who has been discharged home before results of screening tests are known

[Insert date]

Dr. [insert physician name]
[insert address line 1]
[insert address line 2]

Dear Dr. [insert physician last name],

RE: [insert patient name]
DOB: [insert patient’s date of birth]

[Insert patient name] was recently a patient at [insert facility name], and was discharged on [insert date of discharge]. Specimens collected prior to discharge have subsequently shown that this patient is colonized in the [insert specimen site] with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). There is a small risk that [he/she] might develop an infection due to MRSA or transmit the organism to another patient. Therefore, it is important that, if this patient needs to be admitted to any health care facility, that facility is notified and precautions be used to interrupt transmission. When you see [him/her] in your office, it is recommended that, in addition to Routine Practices, you should wear gloves and a long-sleeved gown for direct care to prevent transmission.

The MRSA positive results should not interfere in [insert patient name]’s ability to carry out activities of normal daily living. Good hand hygiene, as always, is recommended.

Thank you for your help and co-operation. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any additional questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

[insert name of Infection Prevention & Control Professional or Physician]
[insert title of Infection Prevention & Control Professional or Physician]
[insert address line 1]
[insert address line 2]
[insert phone number]
APPENDIX F: SEARCH STRATEGY AND SELECTION CRITERIA

Data for this revision were identified during May, 2011 by searches of Medline and references from relevant articles. Search terms were:

- carbapenemase AND Klebsiella
- carbapenemase AND E. coli
- carbapenemase AND KPC
- carbapenemase AND NDM
- metallo-beta-lactamase
- carbapenemase AND control
- carbapenemase AND infection control
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